

**REMARKS**


The Specification has been amended to correct errors committed in translating the original specification written in Japanese into English. A marked-up copy and a clean copy of the substitute specification are provided. No new matter is added by the amendments.

Claim 5 has been amended to correct for an informality. Support for the amendment can be found in the attached, clean copy of the substitute specification, for example, on page 12, lines 4-8. No new matter is added by the amendment.

Please examine the application in view of the amendments set forth above.

Dated: January 5, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By  *Fran Barson*  
(53,970)

Louis J. DelJuidice

Registration No.: 47,522

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

# MARKED-UP COPY OF SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

## DESCRIPTION

### SURFACE-COATED CUTTING TOOL

5

#### Cross-Reference to Prior Applications

This application is a U.S. national phase application under 35 U.S.C. §371 of International Patent Application No. PCT/JP2005/007180, filed April 13, 2005, and claims the benefit of Japanese Application No. 2004-118340, filed April 13, 2004, Japanese Application No. 2004-118341, filed April 13, 2004, Japanese Application No. 2004-118346, filed April 13, 2004, and Japanese Application No. 2004-118348, filed April 13, 2004, all of which are incorporated by reference herein. The International Application was published in Japanese on October 27, 2005 as International Publication No. WO 2005/099945 A1 under PCT Article 21(2).

#### Technical Field

The present invention relates to a cutting tool, such as a throw-away insert or drill, equipped with a coating layer on a substrate surface.

More specifically, the present invention relates to a surface-coated cutting tool with superior lubricity that is suited for cutting ~~copper~~steel and the like.

## Background Art

Examples of widely known cutting tools include throw-away inserts used for turning and milling as well as end mills generally used for side milling, beveling, and fluting various types of metals, and drills used for boring.

5 These end mills and drills are formed with a section that includes a cutting section, known as the body, and a section known as the shank that is mounted in a drive device. Examples of bodies include: solid bodies, where the body is formed integrally from a cutting section and a support that includes a flute for supporting the cutting section and allowing chips to be ejected; brazed bodies,  
10 where the cutting section is brazed to the support; and throw-away bodies, where the cutting section can be attached to and removed from the support. Conventionally, solid bodies are formed from high-speed tool steel or cemented carbide. Brazed bodies are formed by brazing a cutting section formed from a hard material such as cemented carbide to a support formed from high-speed  
15 tool steel.

In recent years, various cutting tool materials have been developed to meet the demand for higher efficiency and precision in cutting. In the process of developing these materials, ceramic coating technology, in which a coating layer formed from ceramics is applied to the surface of a tool substrate, has  
20 become a crucial technology in cutting tools. For example, the use of titanium-based ceramics, e.g., titanium carbide (TiC), titanium nitride (TiN), titanium carbonitride (Ti(C,N)), and oxide-based ceramics, e.g., alumina (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) and zirconia (ZrO<sub>2</sub>) to form coating layers for cutting tools used in high-speed, high-

efficiency operations involving high speeds and high feeds is widely known. Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication Number Hei 11-124672 describes a throw-away insert equipped with a coating layer with a defined X-ray diffraction index of orientation. This insert is used in high-speed, high-efficiency operations involving high speeds and high feeds. In addition to throw-away inserts, this type of ceramic coating technology is also becoming widely used in solid and brazed tools that are often used in end mills and drills.

The inclusion of a ceramic coating on a cutting tool improves surface hardness and heat resistance and allows the tool to handle high-speed, high-efficiency operations involving high speeds and high feeds. In addition to this type of high-speed, high-efficiency operation, in recent years attention has been given to methods that protect the environment such as mist cutting, where the use of cutting oil is drastically reduced, or dry cutting, where cutting oil is not used. To handle these types of operations, throw-away inserts equipped with a coating layer with superior welding resistance or a coating layer with a chip sliding feature (see Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication Number Hei 10-158861 and Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication Number 2003-225808), and a drill coated with a CrN film having lubricity (Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication Number 2003-275911) have been proposed. In addition, cutting tools equipped with an aluminum nitride coating layer for improved heat dissipation and the like have been proposed (see Japanese Examined Patent Publication Number Sho 59-27382; Japanese Patent Publication Number 2861113; Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication

Number 2002-273607; Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication Number 2002-263933; Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication Number 2002-263941; Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication Number 2003-19604; Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication Number 2003-25112; and Japanese Examined Patent  
5 Publication Number Sho 59-27302.

However, all of the conventional cutting tools described above have insufficient lubricity, especially for mist cutting and dry cutting, in which no cutting oil is used. This leads to reduced tool life. Thus, there is a need to improve lubricity so that tool life can be extended. With end mills and drills in  
10 particular, high lubricity is important for increasing the ability of chips to be ejected out through the flute formed on the body. High lubricity is also preferable for cutting materials that tend to weld and for deep hole boring, where the ability of chips to be ejected out is important.

## 15 Summary of the Invention

The present invention provides a surface-coated cutting tool with improved lubricity and extended tool life by defining the composition of an outermost layer to contain predetermined elements so that lubricity is provided for the outermost layer, which makes initial contact with the  
20 workpiece during cutting, and by defining the composition of the inner layer to improve wear resistance and fracturing resistance.

More specifically, the present invention provides a surface-coated cutting tool equipped with a coating layer on a substrate surface. The coating

layer is formed from an inner layer formed on a substrate and an outermost layer formed over the inner layer. The outermost layer and the inner layer meet the following conditions.

<Inner layer>

5           The inner layer is formed from a compound formed from a first element and a second element, the first element being at least one element selected from a group consisting of a periodic table group IVa, Va, VIa metal, Al, Si, and B, and the second element being at least one element selected from a group consisting of B, C, N, and O (except if the first element is B by itself, the  
10   second element is an element other than B).

<Outermost layer>

          The outermost layer is formed from aluminum nitride or aluminum carbonitride. The outermost layer contains more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent chlorine.

15           The present inventors investigated the interrelationships between coating layers and ways of improving coating layer properties in order to extend tool life even when a cutting tool is used in usage environments that impose harsh conditions, e.g., in the case of throw-away inserts used for turning and the like, cutting operations that involve high temperatures for the  
20   cutting edge such as dry cutting, where no cutting oil is used, and intermittent cutting, and, in the case of drills and end mills, cutting operations such as mist cutting, dry cutting, boring, and cutting of workpieces that tend to easily weld. As a result, it was found that tool life could be extended efficiently by using a

coating film with superior lubricity as the outermost layer and forming the inner layer with films having a predetermined composition. More specifically, by forming the outermost layer from a film formed from aluminum nitride containing a predetermined amount of chlorine as described above, lubricity  
5 can be provided even in cutting operations such as dry cutting, intermittent cutting, and boring. As a result, welding resistance is improved and the coating layer can be prevented from peeling. In the case of throw-away inserts used in the turning and the like the superior lubricity reduces the cutting force received by the tool and, also, fracturing resistance and wear resistance can be  
10 improved by forming the inner layer from films having a predetermined composition while using a film with superior lubricity, "shredding" of the workpiece surface after cutting due to contact with the cutting tool is reduced, thus providing high-quality, high-precision workpieces. Furthermore, in the case of drills and end mills the superior lubricity reduces the cutting force  
15 received by the tool and improves ejection of chips and breakage resistance, while the use of predetermined compositions for the films in the inner layer improves wear resistance, chipping resistance, and fracturing resistance. Further, using a coating layer with superior lubricity, the product quality can be improved, e.g., the roundness of holes can be improved, thus providing high-  
20 quality and high-precision workpiece products. The present invention was defined based on these observations.

The improvement in tool life described above is currently believed to be for the following reasons. The aluminum nitride film provides thermal stability

and lubricity. Also, when an aluminum nitride film contains a predetermined amount of chlorine, in the case of cutting operations with throw-away inserts that tend to raise the temperature of the cutting edge, e.g., dry cutting and high-speed and high-feed cutting, a protective film is easy to form on the tool surface when the cutting edge reaches a high temperature of approximately 900 deg C during cutting. This protective film can improve lubricity and is believed to improve the welding resistance of the tool. Also, by forming films from predetermined compositions for the inner layer, reduction of wear resistance can be avoided, thus making it possible to provide a tool with both superior lubricity and superior wear resistance. With drills and end mills, the use of an aluminum nitride film containing a predetermined amount of chlorine is believed to reduce the friction coefficient between chips and areas associated with cutting (specifically, the tool surfaces at the cutting edge and the flutes). As a result, at the area around the cutting edge, the work needed to generate chips is reduced and the chips are more easily ejected, leading to adequate tool life for cutting operations such as dry cutting, deep boring, and cutting of easily welded workpieces, while the quality and cutting precision of the workpiece is also improved. It is also believed that by including a predetermined amount of chlorine in the outermost layer, in addition to the friction coefficient being reduced, the formation of a protective film on the tool surface becomes easier in cutting operations that tend to result in high temperature and high pressure for the cutting edge, e.g., dry cutting and deep boring. This protective film is believed to improve the lubricity of the tool so



that the welding resistance of the tool is improved. Furthermore, it is believed that by forming the inner layer from films having predetermined compositions, it is possible to avoid reducing wear resistance so that a tool with both superior lubricity and wear resistance can be provided. The present invention is  
5 described in further detail below.

(Coating layer)

<Outermost layer>

In the present invention, the outermost layer, which makes initial contact with the workpiece when performing a cutting operation, is formed  
10 from an aluminum compound such as aluminum nitride or aluminum carbonitride. Then, in the present invention, chlorine is included in this film formed from aluminum nitride. More specifically, more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine is included in the outermost layer. The inclusion of no more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine in the outermost layer  
15 makes it possible for a protective film to form in high-temperature cutting environments, thus improving lubricity. If the chlorine content exceeds 0.5 atomic percent, the film forming the outermost layer can peel easily. If there is no chlorine, the protective film described above does not form. It is preferable for the chlorine content to be at least 0.07 atomic percent and no more than 0.3  
20 atomic percent. If a chemical vapor deposition (CVD) technique such as thermal CVD or plasma CVD is used to form the aluminum nitride film containing more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine in the outermost layer, the reaction gas can be a gas containing chlorine such as

hydrogen chloride (HCl). In this case, the hydrogen chloride content can be more than 0 and less than 5.0 percent by volume, and more specifically no more than 1.0 percent by volume, where the entire reaction gas is defined as 100 percent by volume. If a physical vapor deposition (PVD) technique such as  
5 arc ion plating or magnetron sputtering is used to form the aluminum nitride film, chlorine ions can be implanted after the film is formed using ion implantation. The chlorine content in the outermost layer can be adjusted by controlling the amount of implantation as appropriate.

The outermost layer can further include oxygen. More specifically, in  
10 addition to aluminum nitride and aluminum carbonitride, the outermost layer can be formed as an aluminum oxynitride or an aluminum carbon oxynitride film. The inclusion of oxygen makes the protective film easier to form.

With this type of outermost layer, it is preferable for the film thickness to be no more than 1/2 the total film thickness of the inner layer described  
15 later. This allows the coating layer to provide a good balance between wear resistance and lubricity, e.g., for forming the protective film. If the thickness exceeds 1/2, the outermost layer becomes too thick, so that while superior lubricity is provided, wear tends to take place, possibly leading to shorter tool life. More specifically, if the cutting tool of the present invention is to be a  
20 throw-away insert, it is preferable for the film thickness of the outermost layer to be at least 0.03 microns and no more than 10 microns. If the cutting tool of the present invention is to be a drill or an end mill, it is preferable for the thickness to be at least 0.03 microns and no more than 8 microns. If the

thickness is less than 0.03 microns, obtaining adequate lubricity becomes difficult. If the thickness exceeds 10 microns or 8 microns, the outermost layer becomes thicker than the inner layer, tending to reduce wear resistance as described above. Film thickness can be measured, for example, by cutting the  
5 cutting tool, e.g., an insert or drill with a coating layer, and observing the cross-section under an SEM (scanning electron microscope).

At the outermost layer, it is preferable for the surface roughness at areas on the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge at areas that come into contact with the workpiece to have an  $R_{max}$  relative to a  
10 reference length of 5 microns of no more than 1.3 microns, where the roughness is measured by observing a cross-section of the cutting tool. Based on studies by the inventors, it was found that when the surface roughness of these contact areas of the outermost layers has an  $R_{max}$  that exceeds 1.3 microns, welding tends to take place with the workpiece, making it difficult to  
15 provide the advantages of lubricity. The surface roughness is measured by cutting the substrate after the outermost layer is formed, performing lapping on the cross-section, observing the roughness on the film surface using a metallurgical microscope or an electron microscope, and determining the maximum surface roughness ( $R_{max}$ ) within a reference length of 5 microns,  
20 thus eliminating macroscopic swelling and the like. Also, this surface roughness can be controlled to some degree through film forming conditions. For example, crystal structure becomes more coarse at higher film forming temperatures, and by extension there is more surface roughness on the film

surface. Thus, film forming temperature can be lowered to reduce surface roughness. Thus,  $R_{max}$  can be set to no more than 1.3 microns when the film is formed without requiring special treatment after film formation. However, it is also possible to change surface roughness after film formation, e.g., by  
5 polishing with a buff, brush, barrel, elastic grindstone or the like, or by performing surface reforming through microblasting, shot peening, or ion-beam radiation.

#### <Inner layer>

The inner layer is formed from a compound formed from a first element  
10 and a second element, the first element being at least one element selected from a group consisting of a periodic table group IVa, Va, VIa metal, Al, Si, and B, and the second element being at least one element selected from a group consisting of B, C, N, and O (except if the first element is B by itself, the second element is an element other than B). More specifically, films formed  
15 from compounds containing Ti such as TiCN, TiN, TiBN, and TiCNO and films formed from oxides such as  $Al_2O_3$  and  $ZrO_2$  provide superior wear resistance and are preferable. Also, since TiN has good adhesion with the substrate, it is preferable for it to be used as the innermost layer. The inner layer can be formed from a single film or can be formed from multiple films. If the inner  
20 layer is formed from multiple films, the films should have different compositions or structures. The inner layer can be formed either through CVD, e.g., thermal CVD or plasma CVD, or through PVD, e.g., arc ion plating or magnetron sputtering. The inner layer can be formed using widely known

conditions.

By forming the inner layer with a Ti compound film as described above, superior wear resistance is provided. More specifically, a film formed from TiCN is suitable, and in particular it is preferable to use a TiCN film with  
5 columnar structure. Furthermore, it is more preferable to use a film formed from TiCN that has a columnar structure with an aspect ratio of at least 3, where an index of orientation (orientation intensity coefficient)  $TC(220)$ ,  $TC(311)$ , or  $TC(422)$  of a crystal face (220), crystal face (311), ~~and/or~~ crystal face (422) respectively is the maximum index of orientation. By using a TiCN film  
10 with a structure having a predetermined shape and with a crystal face having a predetermined orientation, improved wear resistance and extended tool life is provided even in harsh cutting environments, e.g., where the cutting edge reaches high temperatures.

The columnar structure is defined to have an aspect ratio of at least 3  
15 because if the aspect ratio is less than 3, there tends to be reduced wear resistance under high-temperature cutting conditions. The desired wear resistance is difficult to obtain with a granular structure.

A columnar structure can be formed, for example, if the film is formed using CVD, by using a raw gas that is an organic carbonitride that allows a  
20 columnar structure to be easily formed such as  $CH_3CN$  and by controlling the reaction atmosphere temperature (at least 800 deg C and no more than 950 deg C) and pressure (at least 4.0 kPa and no more than 80 kPa). If a gas other than an organic carbonitride is used, the film growth rate can be increased, the

film forming temperature can be increased, the concentration of the raw gas can be increased, or the like. An aspect ratio of at least 3 can be achieved, for example, by reducing the average grain size of the crystal (preferably at least 0.05 microns and no more than 1.5 microns) and growing the film structure with a columnar structure. This can be done, for example, by appropriately adjusting the TiCN film forming conditions (film forming temperature, film forming pressure, gas composition, gas flow rate, gas flow volume, and the like). It is also possible to appropriately adjust the surface state of the substrate below or directly below the TiCN film or the surface state of the coating film located below or directly below the TiCN film. More specifically, it is possible, for example, to control the surface roughness of the substrate surface to have an  $R_{max}$  (5 microns reference length) of at least 0.05 microns and no more than 1.5 microns and to form the TiCN film by appropriately changing the film forming conditions. Alternatively, it is possible to control the surface roughness, chemical state, or grain diameter (preferably at least 0.01 microns and no more than 1.0 microns), or the like of a film, and to form the TiCN film on top of this film with the film forming conditions adjusted appropriately.

The aspect ratio described above can, for example, be measured in the following manner. A specular polish is applied to a cross-section of the coating layer and the grain boundary of the structure of the columnar structure TiCN film is etched. Then, treating the widths of individual crystals parallel to the substrate at a position corresponding to  $1/2$  the film thickness of the TiCN film

as grain sizes, the grain diameters of the crystals are measured and an average is calculated (the average is used as the average grain size). The proportion of the average grain size relative to the film thickness is calculated by dividing the film thickness by the obtained average grain size, and this value can be used as the aspect ratio.

This TiCN film with a predetermined aspect ratio has the predetermined crystal orientations for the crystal faces as described. The index of orientation TC is defined as follows.

Equation1

$$TC(hk1) = \frac{I(hk1)}{I_0(hk1)} \left( \frac{1}{8} \sum \frac{I(hk1)}{I_0(hk1)} \right)^{-1}$$

$I(hk1)$ : measured diffraction intensity of the  $(hk1)$  face;  $I_0(hk1)$ : average powder diffraction intensity based on JCPDS file of the carbide of the metal forming the  $(hk1)$  face and the nitride of the same metal;  $(hk1)$ : the eight faces (111), (200), (220), (311), (331), (420), (422), (511)

Making one of the indices of orientation (orientation intensity coefficient)  $TC(311)$ ,  $TC(220)$ , or  $TC(422)$  be the maximum can be achieved by appropriately adjusting film forming conditions (film forming temperature, film forming pressure, gas composition, gas flow rate, gas flow volume, and the like) for the TiCN film. It is also possible to appropriately adjust the surface state of the substrate below or directly below the TiCN film or the surface state of the film below or directly below the TiCN film. More specifically, for

example, the TiCN film can be formed on a substrate that has been prepared with a surface roughness  $R_{max}$  (5 microns reference length) of at least 0.05 microns and no more than 1.5 microns, with the film forming conditions appropriately adjusted. Alternatively, for one of the films, the surface roughness, the chemical state of the grains, the grain size, or the like can be controlled, and then the TiCN film can be formed on top of this film with appropriately adjusted film forming conditions.

It is preferable for the diffraction intensity to be measured for a flat section (smooth section) of the substrate ~~cross-section~~ so that surface indentations on the substrate do not create reflections. Also, the JCPDS file (Powder Diffraction File Published by JCPDS International Center for Diffraction Data) does not provide identification of X-ray diffraction intensity for carbonitrides of periodic table IVa, Va, VIa group metals. Thus, identification of diffraction intensity for the TiCN film, which is one of these carbonitrides, can be obtained by comparing diffraction data for the carbide of titanium (Ti), which is the corresponding metal, diffraction data for the nitride of the same, and the measured diffraction data for the TiCN carbonitride. Based on this, the face indices can be estimated, and the diffraction intensities for the face indices can be obtained.

If the inner layer is formed from multiple films, at least one of the films can be the TiCN film with the predetermined aspect ratio and the like as described above, and the other films can be compound films formed from a first element and a second element as described above. The inner layer can, thus,



be formed from this TiCN film and this compound film. The compound film can be a film having a composition different from that of the TiCN film or can be a TiCN film having a structure or orientation that is different from this TiCN film. The TiCN film can be a single film or can be multiple films. Either the  
5 compound film or the TiCN film can be positioned closer toward the substrate. In other words, the structure, starting from the substrate side, can be the TiCN film, the compound film, the outermost layer, or can be the compound film, the TiCN film, and the outermost layer.

If the cutting tool of the present invention is to be a throw-away insert,  
10 it is preferable for the film thickness of the coating layer, formed from the outermost layer and the inner layer, to be at least 0.1 microns and no more than 30.0 microns. If the film thickness of the entire coating layer is less than 0.1 microns, improved wear resistance becomes difficult to obtain. If the thickness exceeds 30.0 microns, the thicker coating layer improves wear  
15 resistance, but the increased hardness tends to increase fractures, leading to shortened tool life and making stable cutting difficult. If the cutting tool of the present invention is to be a drill or end mill, it is preferable for the film thickness of the coating layer, formed from the outermost layer and the inner layer, to be at least 0.1 microns and no more than 24 microns. If the film  
20 thickness of the entire coating layer is less than 0.1 microns, improved wear resistance tends to become difficult to obtain. If the thickness exceeds 24 microns, the thicker coating layer improves wear resistance, but the peeling resistance and fracture resistance is reduced. This leads to frequent chipping,

making stable cutting difficult.

It is preferable for the outermost layer described above to be formed with a film hardness lower than that of at least one of the films forming the inner layer. In other words, it is preferable for the inner layer to include a film  
5 having a film hardness that is greater than that of the outermost layer. With an outermost layer having a low film hardness, it is possible to prevent fractures that occur when the tool initially engages with the workpiece or in intermittent cutting. This makes it possible to provide stable cutting. In addition to changing film composition, film hardness can be adjusted by  
10 controlling the film structure through the film forming conditions. Given the same film composition, film hardness tends to be greater when the film structure is finer. The hardness of the films can be measured by cutting the cutting tool with the coating layer, e.g., the insert or the drill, and measuring the hardness at the cross-section.

15 The coating layer coats at least the areas of the substrate surface associated with cutting. The coating layer can cover the entire substrate surface. In the case of a throw-away insert, for example, the areas associated with cutting are the ridge line of the cutting edge, the rake face, and the flank face. In the case of an end mill or a drill, the area associated with cutting is  
20 what is generally known as the body, formed from a cutting section and a support. Fig. 1 (A) is a simplified front-view drawing of an end mill. Fig. 1 (B) is a simplified front-view drawing of a drill. More specifically, in the case of an end mill, the areas associated with cutting are, as shown in Fig. 1 (A), a

cutting edge section formed from an end surface (an end cutting edge 1), 1) and  
 a side surface (a peripheral cutting edge 2) associated with the actual cutting  
 and a flute 3 that comes into contact with chips. Instead of forming the coating  
 layer just on the body, it is also possible to have the coating layer extend from  
 5 a body 4, where the flute is formed from the end surface, to the section referred  
 to as a shank 5 that is mounted in the driving device. In the case of a drill, the  
 areas associated with cutting are the tip 6 associated with the actual cutting  
 and a groove (flute) 7 that comes into contact with the chips. With a drill as  
 well, it is possible instead of forming the coating layer only on a body 8 to  
 10 extend the coating layer from the body 8, where the end and the flute are  
 formed, to a shank 9 that is mounted in the driving device. For the areas  
 where the coating layer is not formed, appropriate masking can be applied  
 during film forming or polishing or the like can be performed after the film has  
 been formed to remove the film.

15 Of course, after the coating film formed from the outermost layer and  
 the inner layer are formed on the substrate surface, it is possible, as in the  
 conventional technology, to apply surface treatment such as polishing or  
 applying a laser to the ridge line of the cutting edge. With the cutting tool of  
 the present invention, this type of surface treatment does not significantly  
 20 reduce the characteristics of the coating layer.

(Substrate)

It is preferable for the substrate of the present invention, especially the  
 areas of the substrate associated with cutting, to be formed from a WC-based

cemented carbide, cermet, high-speed steel, ceramic, a cubic boron nitride sintered body, or a silicon nitride sintered body. More specifically, if the cutting tool of the present invention is a drill or an end mill, it is preferable for at least the areas of the substrate associated with cutting to be formed from a

5 WC-based cemented carbide, cermet, high-speed steel, or a cubic boron nitride sintered body. If a substrate formed from a WC-based cemented carbide or cermet is used, the advantages of the present invention are provided even if, on the substrate surface or the areas of the substrate surface associated with cutting, there is a reformed surface layer such as a " $\beta$ -free layer" in which non-

10 WC hardness phase has been removed, a binder-rich layer that is rich in binder and from which the hardness phase has been removed, or a hardened surface layer in which the binder phase has been removed.

The present invention can be implemented for various types of cutting tools such as drills, end mills, replaceable milling inserts, replaceable turning

15 inserts, metal saws, gear cutting tools, reamers, and taps. In particular, the present invention is suited for throw-away inserts, drills, and end mills. For drills and end mills, the present invention is used for solid drills and end mills, where the cutting section and the support are sintered or formed integrally, or for brazed drills and end mills, where the cutting section is brazed to the

20 support, rather than throw-away (replaceable cutting edge) tools, where the cutting section and the support can be attached or removed. In the case of brazed tools, it is preferable for the coating layer to be formed using PVD, which has a relatively low film-forming temperature. For solid tools, the

coating layer can be formed using PVD or CVD, which has a relatively high film-forming temperature.

The surface-coated cutting tool of the present invention as described above is equipped with a predetermined coating layer. As a result, superior  
5 lubricity is provided as well as superior wear resistance, peeling resistance, fracture resistance, and breakage resistance. Thus, superior cutting properties and extended tool life are provided even under usage environments involving harsh cutting conditions such as dry cutting, deep boring, cutting of  
workpieces that tend to weld easily, and other cutting operations such as high-  
10 speed, high-efficiency cutting where the cutting edge is exposed to high temperatures.

In particular, since the surface-coated cutting tool of the present invention is equipped with a predetermined TiCN film in the inner layer, both superior lubricity and superior wear resistance are provided, and tool life is  
15 extended with superior cutting characteristics even under the harsh usage environments described above.

#### Brief Description of Drawings

Fig. 1 (A) is a simplified front-view drawing of an end mill. Fig. 1 (B) is a  
20 simplified front-view drawing of a drill.

#### Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments

The embodiments of the present invention will be described.

### First example

Using a throw-away insert for turning as an example, the present invention will be described more specifically below.

#### (Test Example 1-1)

5       A powder with 86 percent by mass of WC, 8.0 percent by mass of Co, 2.0 percent by mass of TiC, 2.0 percent by mass of NbC, and 2.0 percent by mass of ZrC was prepared. The powder was wet mixed for 72 hours with a ball mill, dried, and then pressed into a green compact with a breaker structure. This green compact was heated for 1 hour in a vacuum atmosphere at 1420 deg C in  
10   a sintering furnace, resulting in a sintered body. SiC brush honing and beveling were performed at the ridge line of the cutting edge of the obtained sintered body, resulting in an ISO SNMG120408 throw-away insert formed from WC-based cemented carbide.

      A coating layer was formed on the substrate surface using thermal CVD,  
15   a chemical vapor deposition technique. In this test, starting from the substrate side, an inner layer was formed from TiN(0.5), TiCN(6), TiBN(0.5),  $\kappa$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(2) and an outermost layer was formed from AlN(3). The numbers in parentheses indicate film thickness in microns. Table 1 shows an example of film forming conditions for each film. Specifically, the composition of the reaction gas  
20   (percent by volume), the pressure applied when forming the film (kPa), and the film forming temperature (deg C) are shown. Film thickness was controlled by controlling the film forming time. Test samples in which the AlN film at the outermost layer have different chlorine contents were prepared by varying the

film forming conditions as shown in Table 1. Table 2 shows chlorine content at the outermost layer. Specifically, samples with more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine, samples with more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine, and samples with no chlorine were prepared. Chlorine content was varied as shown in Table 1 by varying the proportion of hydrogen chloride (HCl) in the reaction gas. Also, ~~using~~depending on the amount of hydrogen chloride, the film forming pressure and the film forming temperature were varied as appropriate. Furthermore, the surface roughness at sites on the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge at areas that come into contact with the workpiece were studied for test samples containing more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine in the outermost layer. Observation of the tool cross-sections showed that the Rmax for a reference length of 5 microns was no more than 1.3 microns for all samples. More specifically, the Rmax was 0.6 microns for Test Sample 1-2, for example. The chlorine content was measured using XPS (X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy), but composition can also be studied using micro-EDX (Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy) combined with a transmission electron microscope or using SIMS (Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry). Also, the Knoop hardness for each of the layers in the test samples was studied, and it was found that in all cases the outermost AlN film was ~~harder~~softer than the inner TiCN film layer.

Table 1

Coating layer	Reaction gas composition (vol%)	Pressure (kPa)	Temperature (deg C)
AlN *1	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.0 - 5.0%, NH <sub>3</sub> :0.1 - 5.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :20 - 50%, HCl:0.01 - 1.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	4.0 - 80	750 - 980

AlCN *1	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.0 - 5.0%, NH <sub>3</sub> :0.1 - 5.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :20 - 50%, CH <sub>4</sub> :0.5 - 5.0%, HCl:0.01 - 1.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	4.0 - 80	750 - 980
AlON *1	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.0 - 5.0%, NH <sub>3</sub> :0.1 - 5.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :20 - 50%, CO <sub>2</sub> :0.2 - 3.0%, HCl:0.01 - 1.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	4.0 - 80	750 - 980
AlN *2	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%, NH <sub>3</sub> :1.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :40%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	5.0	1000
AlN *3	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%, NH <sub>3</sub> :3.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :40%, HCl:5.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	950
AlON *2	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%, NH <sub>3</sub> :6.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :40%, CO <sub>2</sub> :1.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.8	1100
TiN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :25%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	950
TiC	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, CN <sub>4</sub> :5%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	1050
TiCN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, CH <sub>3</sub> CN:0.6%, N <sub>2</sub> :20%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7 - 80	800 - 950
ZrCN	ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :1.0%, CH <sub>3</sub> CN:0.6%, N <sub>2</sub> :35%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	890
TiZrCN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :1.5%, ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :1.0%, CH <sub>3</sub> CN:1.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :45%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	975
TiCNO	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, CO <sub>2</sub> :2.5%, N <sub>2</sub> :8%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	975
TiBN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, BCl <sub>3</sub> :5.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :5.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	950
HfCN	HfCl <sub>4</sub> :1.0%, CH <sub>3</sub> CN:1.2%, N <sub>2</sub> :40%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1025
$\alpha$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :2.0%, H <sub>2</sub> S:0.3%, CO <sub>2</sub> :5.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1050
$\kappa$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :2.0%, CO <sub>2</sub> :5.0%, CO:0.5%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1000
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, CO <sub>2</sub> :7.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1050
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> - ZrO <sub>2</sub>	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%, ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :0.3%, CO <sub>2</sub> :9.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	1070

\*1: Chlorine content is more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent

\*2: No chlorine

\*3: Chlorine content is more than 0.5 atomic percent

5

Table 2

Test Sample No.	Outermost layer	Chlorine content (atomic %)
1-1	AlN *1	0.02
1-2	AlN *1	0.15
1-3	AlN *1	0.49
1-4	AlN *2	0
1-5	AlN *3	0.90

Using the surface-coated throw-away inserts with the outermost layers as shown in Table 2, cutting operations were performed using the cutting conditions shown in Table 3. The cutting time involved in reaching the tool life



was measured. In a peeling resistance test, cutting operations were repeated, with the end of tool life defined to be when flank face wear due to film peeling was at least 0.3 mm. In a fracturing resistance test, intermittent cutting was performed, with the end of tool life defined to be when a fracture occurred. The results of the tests are shown in Table 4.

Table 3

	Peeling resistance test	Fracture resistance test
Workpiece	S15C rod 3-sec repetition test	S45C fluted rod
Speed	V=300m/min	V=260m/min
Feed	f=0.3mm/rev.	f=0.2mm/rev.
Cutting depth	d=1.0mm	d=1.5mm
Cutting oil	None	None

Table 4

Test sample No.	Cutting time (min)	
	Peeling resistance test	Fracture resistance test
1-1	40	23
1-2	63	30
1-3	52	21
1-4	10	7
1-5	9	4

Based on the results, in the Test Samples 1-1 through 1-3, which have aluminum nitride layers with more than 0 and not more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine on the outermost layer as shown in Table 4, superior lubricity and improved welding resistance was observed even in environments where the cutting edge reaches high temperature, such as in dry cutting and intermittent cutting. This provided superior peeling resistance as well as superior fracturing resistance due to reduced cutting force. Also, these Test

Samples 1-1 - 1-3 showed reduced wear, indicating superior wear resistance. Based on these factors, it can be seen that the Test Samples 1-1 - 1-3 provide longer cutting times and extended tool life.

(Test Example 1-2)

5           A cemented carbide substrate similar to the one used in the Test Example 1-1 was prepared. Thermal CVD was performed on the surface of the obtained substrate to form a coating layer with the film forming conditions (gas composition, pressure, temperature) shown in Table 1. Table 5 shows the composition, film thicknesses, and film thickness of the entire coating layer  
10 (total film thickness). In Table 5, the films are indicated sequentially as the first film, the second film, and the like starting from the film closest to the substrate.

Table 5

Test sample No.	First film		Second film		Third film		Fourth film		Fifth film		Sixth film		Total Thickness $\mu\text{m}$	Cutting time (min)
	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness		
2-1	TiCN	5.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	2.0									7.0	20
2-2	TiN	0.5	ZrCN	7.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.5							8.0	31
2-3	TiN	1.0	TiCN	4.5	TiC	1.5	TiCNO	1.0	$\kappa$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	5.0	16.0	35
2-4	TiN	0.3	TiCN	6.5	TiBN	0.5	$\kappa$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.5	TiN	0.3	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	3.0	12.1	27
2-5	TiN	0.5	TiCN	20.0	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZrO <sub>2</sub>	5.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	2.0					27.5	37
2-6	TiCN	3.0	TiZrCN	5.0	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.3	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.5	AlON <sup>*1</sup>	1.7			14.5	28
2-7	TiCN	3.2	TiN	0.5	HfCN	4.3	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	2.5					10.5	21
2-8	TiN	0.5	TiBN	1.3	$\alpha$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.05					6.85	25
2-9	HfCN	3.5	$\alpha$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.5	TiCNO	2.3	TiCN	6.5	TiN	0.7	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	0.7	15.2	30
2-10	TiN	5.0	TiZrCN	14.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	9.0							28	32
2-11	TiN	0.5	TiCN	4.5	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	0.5	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.5					6.0	23
2-12	TiN	0.5	TiCNO	2.0	TiCN	6.0	TiBN	0.5	$\kappa$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.5	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	0.7	11.2	25
2-13	HfCN	4.0	TiN	1.0									5.0	4
2-14	TiN	0.5	TiCN	5.0	TiCNO	0.5	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.0	TiCN	0.1	TiN	2.0	10.1	6
2-15	TiN	0.5	AlON <sup>*1</sup>	2.0	$\alpha$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.0	TiN	1.5					7.0	6
2-16	ZrCN	0.07	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.02									0.09	8
2-17	TiN	0.5	ZrCN	4.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.02							4.52	11
2-18	TiN	0.5	TiCN	3.0	TiCNO	11.0	$\alpha$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.5	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZrO <sub>2</sub>	7.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	10.0	35.0	7
2-19	TiCN	4.0	TiBN	2.0	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	8.0					16.0	13
2-20	TiN	1.0	ZrCN	4.0	AlON <sup>*2</sup>	1.3							6.3	5
2-21	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	5.0											5.0	3
2-22	TiN	3.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	1.0									4.0	11
2-23	TiZrCN	10.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	4.0									14.0	10

Repeated cutting was performed under the cutting conditions shown below using the surface-coated throw-away inserts with the coating layers shown in Table 5. The cutting time involved in reaching the tool life was measured. The end of tool life was defined to be when flank face wear due to film peeling was at least 0.3 mm. Table 5 shows the results from the test as well.

Workpiece: 15-second repeated wear resistance test with SCM435 round

10 rod

Speed:  $V=180$  m/min

Feed:  $f=0.2$  mm/rev.

Depth of cut:  $d=1.5$  mm

Cutting oil: none

5        As a result, it was found as shown in Table 5 that, compared to the other test samples, Test Samples 2-1 - 2-12, 2-16 - 2-19, 2-22, and 2-23, which had an aluminum nitride film containing a predetermined amount of chlorine as the outermost layer and films with predetermined compositions as the inner layers, provided superior lubricity and superior wear resistance.

10       Also, the results shown in Table 5 indicate that it is preferable for the outermost layer to be at least 0.03 microns and for the total film thickness to be at least 0.1 microns and no more than 30 microns. Furthermore, it can be seen that it is preferable for the outermost layer to have no more than 1/2 the total thickness of the inner layer.

15       The inserts from the test sample 2-1 - 2-23 were all cut and the surface roughness relative to a 5 micron reference length was measured for the area of the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece. As a result, it was found that  $R_{max}$  was no more than 1.3 microns for all inserts except the Test Sample 2-23, while the  $R_{max}$  of  
20       the Test Sample 2-23 was 1.7 microns. For the Test Sample 2-23, a #1500 diamond paste was used to polish the area of the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece. When the method described above was used to measure surface roughness after

polishing,  $R_{max}$  was 0.52 microns. When a cutting test was performed under the same cutting conditions using the polished insert, the tool life was 22 min. This is believed to be caused by a reduction in the cutting force resulting from less roughness at the area of the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece. When the surface roughness of the Test Sample 2-3 was measured as described above, the  $R_{max}$  was 0.76 microns, but when the cutting edge was polished in the same manner, the tool life after another cutting operation was found to be significantly improved, at 45 min.

Furthermore, a coating film was formed on the Test Sample 2-22 making the film hardness of the inner layer ~~higher~~lower than that of the outermost layer. Then, the hardnesses of the films forming the coating layers of the Test Samples 2-1 - 2-20, 2-22, and 2-23 were measured. The film hardness of the outermost layer was lower than that of at least one film of the inner layer for all the inserts, with the exception of Test Sample 2-22. With Test Sample 2-22, the film hardness of the outermost layer was higher than that of the inner layer. Based on this, it is believed that the cutting efficiency of Test Sample 2-22 was reduced compared to Test Samples 2-1 - 2-12.

(Test Example 1-2')

Surface-coated inserts similar to those of the Test Samples 2-1 - 2-23 were prepared and cutting tests were performed under the cutting conditions described below. Crater wear (area:  $\text{mm}^2$ ) was then measured for a predetermined cutting length (500 m).

Workpiece: S50C

Speed:  $V=250$  m/min

Feed:  $f=0.3$  mm/rev.

Depth of cut:  $d=1.5$  mm

5 Cutting oil: none

The results showed that the Test Samples 2-1 - 2-12, 2-16 - 2-19, 2-22, and 2-23 had less crater wear compared to the other samples. For example, the results for the Test Samples 2-4, 2-5, and 2-6 were  $0.45 \text{ mm}^2$ ,  $0.39 \text{ mm}^2$ , and  $0.44 \text{ mm}^2$ , respectively.

10 (Test Example 1-3)

Surface-coated inserts were prepared using the substrate described below with a widely known PVD method used to form a coating layer having a composition similar to that of the Test Samples 2-2, 2-13 from Table 5. For the insert with a coating layer having a composition similar to that of the Test

15 Sample 2-2, the surface-coated insert was formed by adding chlorine to the outermost layer using ion implantation after the coating layer was formed. Cutting tests with cutting conditions similar to those of Test Example 1-2 were performed using these surface-coated inserts. The test samples formed with the coating layer from the Test Sample 2-2 all had a chlorine content of 0.2

20 atomic percent on the outermost layer.

1. JIS standard: P20 cermet cutting insert (T1200A, Sumitomo Electric Hardmetal Corp. Ltd.)

2. Ceramic cutting insert (W80, Sumitomo Electric Hardmetal Corp. Ltd.)

3. Silicon nitride cutting insert (NS260, Sumitomo Electric Hardmetal Corp. Ltd.)

4. Cubic boron nitride cutting insert (BN250, Sumitomo Electric Hardmetal Corp. Ltd.)

5 .....The results showed that all the inserts with the coating layer having the composition from Test Sample 2-2 provided a tool life of at least twice that of conventional inserts with the coating layer having the composition from Test Sample 2-13.

(Test Example 1-4)

10 A powder with 86 percent by mass of WC, 8.0 percent by mass of Co, 2.0 percent by mass of TiC, 2.0 percent by mass of NbC, and 2.0 percent by mass of ZrC was prepared. The powder was wet mixed for 72 hours with a ball mill, dried, and then pressed into a green compact with a breaker structure. This green compact was heated for 1 hour in a vacuum atmosphere at 1420 deg C in  
15 a sintering furnace, resulting in a sintered body. SiC brush honing and beveling were performed at the ridge line of the cutting edge of the obtained sintered body, resulting in an ISO SNMG120408 throw-away insert formed from WC-based cemented carbide.

A coating layer was formed on the substrate surface using thermal CVD,  
20 a chemical vapor deposition technique. In this test, starting from the substrate side an inner layer was formed from TiN(0.5), columnar structure TiCN(6), TiBN(0.5),  $\kappa$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(2) and an outermost layer was formed from AlN(3). The numbers in parentheses indicate film thickness in microns. Table 6 shows an

example of film forming conditions for each film. Specifically, the composition of the reaction gas (percent by volume), the pressure applied when forming the film (kPa), and the film forming temperature (deg C) are shown. Film thickness was controlled by controlling the film forming time. In this test, the

5 TiCN film was grown so that it has a columnar structure with an aspect ratio of 4.2 and so that the (311) plane has the maximum index of orientation TC. More specifically, the TiN film formation conditions (gas composition, pressure, temperature) were set up so that the reaction gas was  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ , the temperature was 900 deg C, the pressure was 8 kPa, and the surface

10 roughness  $R_{\text{max}}$  (5 microns reference length) of the TiN film formed below the TiCN film was 0.1 microns. Then, the film forming conditions were varied as shown in Table 6 to form AlN films on the outermost layer with different chlorine content. Table 7 shows chlorine content on the outermost layer. More specifically, test samples were prepared with more than 0 and no more than

15 0.5 atomic percent chlorine on the outermost layer, more than 0.5 atomic percent chlorine, and no chlorine. The chlorine content was varied by varying the proportion of hydrogen chloride (HCl) in the reaction gas as shown in Table 6. Also, ~~using depending on~~ the amount of hydrogen chloride, the film forming pressure and the film forming temperature were varied as appropriate.

20 Furthermore, the surface roughness at sites on the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge at areas that come into contact with the workpiece were studied for test samples containing more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine in the outermost layer. Observation of the



tool cross-sections showed that the Rmax for a reference length of 5 microns was no more than 1.3 microns for all samples. More specifically, the Rmax was 0.6 microns for Test Sample 3-2, for example. The chlorine content was measured using XPS (X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy), but composition can also be studied using micro-EDX (Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy) combined with a transmission electron microscope or using SIMS (Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry).

Table 6

Coating layer	Reaction gas composition (vol%)	Pressure (kPa)	Temperature (deg C)
AlN *1	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.0 - 5.0%, NH <sub>3</sub> :0.1 - 5.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :20 - 50%, HCl:0.01 - 1.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	4.0 - 80	750 - 980
AlCN *1	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.0 - 5.0%, NH <sub>3</sub> :0.1 - 5.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :20 - 50%, CH <sub>4</sub> :0.5 - 5.0%, HCl:0.01 - 1.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	4.0 - 80	750 - 980
AlON *1	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.0 - 5.0%, NH <sub>3</sub> :0.1 - 5.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :20 - 50%, CO <sub>2</sub> :0.2 - 3.0%, HCl:0.01 - 1.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	4.0 - 80	750 - 980
AlN *2	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%, NH <sub>3</sub> :1.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :40%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	5.0	1000
AlN *3	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%, NH <sub>3</sub> :3.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :40%, HCl:5.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	950
AlON *2	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%, NH <sub>3</sub> :6.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :40%, CO <sub>2</sub> :1.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.8	1100
TiN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :25%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	950
TiC	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, CN <sub>4</sub> :5%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	1050
granular TiCN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :4.0%, CH <sub>4</sub> :4.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :20%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	14	1020
columnar TiCN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :3.0%, CH <sub>3</sub> CN:0.6%, N <sub>2</sub> :20%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	4.0 - 80	800 - 950
ZrCN	ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :1.0%, CH <sub>3</sub> CN:0.6%, N <sub>2</sub> :35%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	890
TiZrCN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :1.5%, ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :1.0%, CH <sub>3</sub> CN:1.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :45%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	975
TiCNO	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, CO <sub>2</sub> :2.5%, N <sub>2</sub> :8%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	975
TiBN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, BCl <sub>3</sub> :5.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :5.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	950
HfCN	HfCl <sub>4</sub> :1.0%, CH <sub>3</sub> CN:1.2%, N <sub>2</sub> :40%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1025
α Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :2.0%, H <sub>2</sub> S:0.3%, CO <sub>2</sub> :5.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1050
κ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :2.0%, CO <sub>2</sub> :5.0%, CO:0.5%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1000
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, CO <sub>2</sub> :7.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1050
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -ZrO <sub>2</sub>	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%, ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :0.3%, CO <sub>2</sub> :9.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	1070

\*1: Chlorine content is more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent

\*2: No chlorine

\*3: Chlorine content is more than 0.5 atomic percent

Table 7

Test sample No.	Outermost layer	Chlorine content (atomic percent)
3-1	AlN *1	0.02
3-2	AlN *1	0.15
3-3	AlN *1	0.49
3-4	AlN *2	0
3-5	AlN *3	0.90

5

Using the surface-coated throw-away inserts with the outermost layers as shown in Table 7, continuous cutting operations were performed using the cutting conditions shown in Table 8. The cutting time involved in reaching the tool life was measured. In a peeling resistance test, cutting operations were repeated, with the end of tool life defined to be when flank face wear due to film peeling was at least 0.3 mm. In a wear resistance test, tool life was defined to be when the flank face wear was at least 0.3 mm. The results of the tests are shown in Table 9.

Table 8

	Peeling resistance test	<del>Fracture</del> Wear resistance test
Workpiece	S15C rod 3 sec repetition test	S45C rod
Speed	V=300m/min	V=260m/min
Feed	f=0.3mm/rev.	f=0.2mm/rev.
Cutting depth	d=1.0mm	d=1.5mm
Cutting oil	None	None

15

Table 9

Test Sample No.	Cutting time (min)	
	Peeling resistance test	Wear resistance test
3-1	42	24
3-2	60	31
3-3	51	21
3-4	12	6
3-5	10	5

Based on the results, in the Test Samples 3-1 through 3-3, which have aluminum nitride layers with more than 0 and not more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine on the outermost layer as shown in Table 9, superior lubricity and improved welding resistance was observed even in dry cutting. This improved welding resistance and provided superior peeling resistance by reducing cutting force. Also, since a predetermined TiCN film is used in the inner layer, the Test Samples 3-1 - 3-3 also provide superior wear resistance. Furthermore, chipping did not take place with these Test Samples 3-1 - 3-3. Thus superior chipping resistance and fracturing resistance are provided. Based on these factors, it can be seen that the Test Samples 3-1 - 3-3 provide longer cutting times and extended tool life.

(Test Example 1-5)

A cemented carbide substrate similar to the one used in the Test Example 1-4 was prepared. Thermal CVD was performed on the surface of the obtained substrate to form a coating layer with the film forming conditions (gas composition, pressure, temperature) shown in Table 6. In this test, the following layers were formed, starting from the substrate side: TiN(0.5), columnar structure TiCN(4) or granular structure TiCN(4), TiBN(0.5), Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-

$\text{ZrO}_2(2)$  and an outermost layer formed from  $\text{AlN}^{*1}(3)$  (Test Sample 3-3 from Table 7). The numbers in parentheses indicate film thickness in microns. Film thickness was controlled by controlling the film forming time. In this test, the aspect ratio and the face with the maximum index of orientation of the columnar structure TiCN film are varied, as shown in Table 6, by varying the film forming pressure and temperature as well as by varying the surface roughness and gas conditions for the TiN film formed below the TiCN film. More specifically, the aspect ratio of the TiCN film was set to at least 3 by using  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  as the reaction gas, with the gas temperature set to 920 deg C and the pressure set to 6 kPa and the  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  reaction gas being introduced gradually. Also, if the TiCN film maximum index of orientation ~~TC~~ is to be ~~(422)~~, TC(422), for example, the surface roughness  $R_{\text{max}}$  (5 microns reference length) of the substrate is set to 0.09 microns and the TiCN film is formed while adjusting the aspect ratio outward from the substrate (away from the substrate). Furthermore, for all the test samples, the surface of the outermost layer was polished after forming the outermost layer so that the section of the outermost layer around the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece had a surface roughness  $R_{\text{max}}$  of 0.4 microns for 5 microns reference length when measured by observing tool cross-sections. Table 10 shows TiCN film ~~composition~~structure, aspect ratio, and the face with the maximum index of orientation TC.

Table 10

Test sample No.	Inner layer TiCN film			Cutting time (min) Wear resistance test
	Structure	Aspect ratio	Face with maximum TC	
4-1	Columnar	5.2	311	21
4-2	Columnar	6.6	422	25
4-3	Columnar	3.1	220	19
4-4	Columnar	2.3	220	4
4-5	Columnar	3.5	420	5
4-6	Granular	-	311	1

Using the surface-coated throw-away inserts with TiCN film inner layers as shown in Table 10, continuous cutting operations were performed using the cutting conditions described below. The cutting time involved in reaching the tool life was measured. The end of tool life was defined to be when flank face wear ~~due to film peeling~~ was at least 0.3 mm. Table 10 shows the results from the test as well.

Workpiece: wear resistance test with ~~stainless steel~~SUS rod

Speed:  $V=200$  m/min

Feed:  $f=0.2$  mm/rev.

Depth of cut:  $d=1.5$  mm

Cutting oil: none

The results show that with a TiCN film as an inner layer as in Table 10, a columnar structure provides superior wear resistance. More specifically, with Test Samples 4-1 - 4-3, which are formed with columnar structure TiCN film at the inner layer with an aspect ratio of at least 3 and a maximum index of orientation of TC(311), TC(220), or TC(422), wear resistance is especially superior and tool life is longer, even when dry cutting. The longer tool life is

believed to be because of the superior lubricity of the outermost layer and the inner layer and the use of a predetermined TiCN film with superior wear resistance resistance as the inner layer.

(Test Example 1-6)

5           A cemented carbide substrate similar to the one used in the Test Example 1-4 was prepared. Thermal CVD was performed on the surface of the obtained substrate to form a coating layer with the film forming conditions (gas composition, pressure, temperature) shown in Table 6. In this test, the film forming conditions were controlled so that columnar structure TiCN films  
10   had an aspect ratio of at least 3 and the maximum index of orientation was TC(311), TC(220), or TC(422). Table 11 shows the composition, film thicknesses, and the film thickness of the entire coating layer (total film thickness). In Table 11, the films are indicated sequentially as the first film, the second film, and the like starting from the film closest to the substrate.

Table 11

Test Sample No.	First film		Second film		Third film		Fourth film		Fifth film		Sixth film		Total Thickness $\mu\text{m}$	Cutting time min
	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness		
5-1	columnnar TiCN	5.0	AIN*1	2.0									7.0	21
5-2	columnnar TiCN	0.5	ZrCN	7.0	AIN*1	0.5							8.0	31
5-3	TiN	1.0	columnnar TiCN	4.5	TiC	1.5	TiCNO	1.0	$\kappa$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.0	AlCN*1	5.0	16.0	37
5-4	TiN	0.3	columnnar TiCN	6.5	TiBN	0.5	$\kappa$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.5	TiN	0.3	AIN*1	3.0	12.1	27
5-5	TiN	0.5	columnnar TiCN	20.0	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -ZrO <sub>2</sub>	5.0	AIN*1	2.0					27.5	35
5-6	columnnar TiCN	3.0	TiZrCN	5.0	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.3	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.5	AlON*1	1.7			14.5	26
5-7	columnnar TiCN	3.2	TiN	0.5	HfCN	4.3	AlCN*1	2.5					10.5	23
5-8	columnnar TiCN	0.5	TiBN	1.3	$\alpha$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.0	AIN*1	0.05					6.85	26
5-9	HfCN	3.5	$\alpha$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.5	TiCNO	2.3	columnnar TiCN	6.5	TiN	0.7	AlCN*1	0.7	15.2	32
5-10	columnnar TiCN	5.0	TiZrCN	14.0	AlCN*1	9.0							28	32
5-11	TiN	0.5	columnnar TiCN	4.5	AlCN*1	0.5	AIN*1	0.5					6.0	25
5-12	TiN	0.5	TiCNO	2.0	columnnar TiCN	6.0	TiBN	0.5	$\kappa$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.5	AlCN*1	0.7	11.2	23
5-13	columnnar TiCN	2.0	HfCN	2.0	TiN	1.0							5.0	6
5-14	TiN	0.5	columnnar TiCN	5.0	TiCNO	0.5	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.0	columnnar TiCN	0.1	TiN	2.0	10.1	7
5-15	columnnar TiCN	0.5	AlON*1	2.0	$\alpha$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.0	TiN	1.5					7.0	5
5-16	columnnar TiCN	0.07	AIN*1	0.02									0.09	8
5-17	columnnar TiCN	0.5	ZrCN	4.0	AIN*1	0.02							4.52	11
5-18	TiN	0.5	columnnar TiCN	3.0	TiCNO	11.0	$\alpha$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.5	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -ZrO <sub>2</sub>	7.0	AlCN*1	10.0	35.0	8
5-19	columnnar TiCN	4.0	TiBN	2.0	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.0	AlCN*1	8.0					16.0	14
5-20	TiN	0.2	columnnar TiCN	0.8	ZrCN	4.0	AlON*2	1.3					6.3	7
5-21	columnnar TiCN	10.0	AlCN*1	4.0									14.0	10

Using the surface-coated throw-away inserts with TiCN-film innercoating layers as shown in Table 11, continuous cutting operations were

performed using the cutting conditions described below. The cutting time involved in reaching the tool life was measured. The end of tool life was defined to be when flank face wear ~~due to film peeling~~ was at least 0.3 mm. Table 11 shows the results from the test as well.

5           Workpiece: 15-second repetitive wear resistance test with SCM435 rod  
               Speed:  $V=180$  m/min  
               Feed:  $f=0.2$  mm/rev.  
               Depth of cut:  $d=1.5$  mm  
               .....Cutting oil: none

10           As a result, it was found as shown in Table 11 that, compared to the  
               other test samples, Test Samples 5-1 - 5-12, 5-16 - 5-19, 5-21, which had an  
               aluminum nitride film containing a predetermined amount of chlorine as the  
               outermost layer and a columnar structure TiCN film inner layer with an  
               aspect ratio of at least 3 and a maximum index of orientation of TC(311),  
 15           TC(220), or TC(422), provided superior lubricity and superior wear resistance.

              Also, the results shown in Table 11 indicate that it is preferable for the  
               outermost layer to be at least 0.03 microns and for the total film thickness to  
               be at least 0.1 microns and no more than 30 microns. Furthermore, it can be  
               seen that it is preferable for the outermost layer to have no more than 1/2 the  
 20           total thickness of the inner layer.

              The inserts from the test sample 5-1 - 5-21 were all cut and the surface  
               roughness relative to a 5 micron reference length was measured for the area of  
               the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into



contact with the workpiece. As a result, it was found that  $R_{max}$  was no more than 1.3 microns for all inserts except Test Sample 5-21, while the  $R_{max}$  of the Test Sample 5-21 was 1.7 microns. For Test Sample 5-21, a #1500 diamond paste was used to polish the area of the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece. When the method described above was used to measure surface roughness after polishing,  $R_{max}$  was 0.52 microns. When a cutting test was performed under the same cutting conditions using the polished insert, the tool life was 24 min. This is believed to be caused by a reduction in the cutting force resulting from less roughness at the area of the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece. When the surface roughness of Test Sample 5-3 was measured as described above, the  $R_{max}$  was 0.76 microns, but when the cutting edge was polished in the same manner, the tool life after another cutting operation was found to be significantly improved, at 48 min.

(Test Example 1-6')

Surface-coated inserts similar to those of Test Samples 5-1 - 5-21 were prepared and cutting tests were performed under the cutting conditions described below. Crater wear (area:  $\text{mm}^2$ ) was then measured for a predetermined cutting length (500 m).

Workpiece: S50C

Speed:  $V=250 \text{ m/min}$

Feed:  $f=0.3 \text{ mm/rev.}$

Depth of cut:  $d=1.5 \text{ mm}$

Cutting oil: none

.....The results showed that Test Samples 5-1 - 5-12, 5-16 - 5-19, and 5-21 had less crater wear compared to the other samples. For example, the results for Test Samples 5-4, 5-5, and 5-6 were 0.3 mm<sup>2</sup>, 0.27 mm<sup>2</sup>, and 0.29 mm<sup>2</sup>,  
5 respectively.

(Test Example 1-7)

Using the substrate described below, a coating film having a composition similar to that of Test Sample 5-2 from Table 11 was formed using a widely known PVD method. Surface-coated inserts were formed by adding  
10 chlorine to the outermost layer using ion implantation after the coating layer was formed. Cutting tests were performed under cutting conditions similar to those of Test Example 1-6.

The chlorine content of the outermost layer was 0.18 atomic percent in all cases.

- 15 1. JIS standard: P20 cermet cutting insert (T1200A, Sumitomo Electric Hardmetal Corp. Ltd.)
2. Ceramic cutting insert (W80, Sumitomo Electric Hardmetal Corp. Ltd.)
3. Silicon nitride cutting insert (NS260, Sumitomo Electric Hardmetal Corp. Ltd.)
- 20 4. Cubic boron nitride cutting insert (BN250, Sumitomo Electric Hardmetal Corp. Ltd.)

.....The results indicated that all the coated inserts provided superior lubricity and wear resistance.

Based on this, it can be seen that tool life can be improved in the same manner as when a cemented carbide is used as described above.

[Second Example]

The present invention will be described in more detail, using end mills  
5 as an example.

(Test Example 2-1)

Two-edge square end mill substrates (solid end mills) formed from cemented carbide corresponding to JIS Z20 (10 mm diameter) were prepared. Thermal CVD, which is a chemical vapor deposition technique, was performed  
10 to form a coating layer on the substrate at the surface of the areas (body) associated with cutting. In this test, the following layers were formed, starting from the substrate side: an inner layer formed from TiN(0.5), TiCN(4), TiBN(0.5),  $\kappa$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(1) and an outermost layer formed from AlN (1.5). The numbers in parentheses indicate film thickness in microns. Table 12 shows an  
15 example of film forming conditions for each film. Specifically, the composition of the reaction gas (percent by volume), the pressure applied when forming the film (kPa), and the film forming temperature (deg C) are shown. Film thickness was controlled by controlling the film forming time. Test samples in which the AlN film at the outermost layer have different chlorine contents  
20 were prepared by varying the film forming conditions as shown in Table 12. Table 13 shows chlorine content at the outermost layer. Specifically, samples with more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine, samples with more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine, and samples with no chlorine in

the outermost layer were prepared. Chlorine content was varied as shown in Table 12 by varying the proportion of hydrogen chloride (HCl) in the reaction gas. Also, using depending on the amount of hydrogen chloride, the film forming pressure and the film forming temperature were varied as appropriate.

5 Furthermore, the surface roughness at sites on the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge at areas that come into contact with the workpiece were studied for test samples containing more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine in the outermost layer. Observation of the tool cross-sections showed that the Rmax for a reference length of 5 microns  
10 was no more than 1.3 microns for all samples. More specifically, the Rmax was 0.6 microns for Test Sample 6-2, for example. The chlorine content was measured using XPS (X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy), but composition can also be studied using micro-EDX (Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy) combined with a transmission electron microscope or using SIMS (Secondary  
15 Ion Mass Spectrometry). Also, the Knoop hardness for each of the layers in the test samples was studied, and it was found that in all cases the outermost AlN film was harder softer than the inner TiCN film layer.

Table 12

Coating layer	Reaction gas composition (vol%)	Pressure (kPa)	Temperature (deg C)
AlN *1	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.0 - 5.0%,NH <sub>3</sub> :0.1 - 5.0%,N <sub>2</sub> :20 - 50%,HCl:0.01 - 1.0%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	4.0 - 80	750 - 980
AlCN *1	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.0 - 5.0%,NH <sub>3</sub> :0.1 - 5.0%,N <sub>2</sub> :20 - 50%,CH <sub>4</sub> :0.5 - 5.0%,HCl:0.01 - 1.0%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	4.0 - 80	750 - 980
AlON *1	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.0 - 5.0%,NH <sub>3</sub> :0.1 - 5.0%,N <sub>2</sub> :20 - 50%,CO <sub>2</sub> :0.2 - 3.0%,HCl:0.01 - 1.0%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	4.0 - 80	750 - 980
AlN *2	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%,NH <sub>3</sub> :1.0%,N <sub>2</sub> :40%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	5.0	1000
AlN *3	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%,NH <sub>3</sub> :3.0%,N <sub>2</sub> :40%,HCl:5.0%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	950
AlON *2	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%,NH <sub>3</sub> :6.0%,N <sub>2</sub> :40%,CO <sub>2</sub> :1.0%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.8	1100
TiN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%,N <sub>2</sub> :25%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	950
TiC	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%,CN <sub>4</sub> :5%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	1050
TiCN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%,CH <sub>3</sub> CN:0.6%,N <sub>2</sub> :20%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7 - 80	800 - 950
ZrCN	ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :1.0%,CH <sub>3</sub> CN:0.6%,N <sub>2</sub> :35%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	890
TiZrCN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :1.5%,ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :1.0%,CH <sub>3</sub> CN:1.0%,N <sub>2</sub> :45%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	975
TiCNO	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%,CO <sub>2</sub> :2.5%,N <sub>2</sub> :8%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	975
TiBN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%,BCl <sub>3</sub> :5.0%,N <sub>2</sub> :5.0%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	950
HfCN	HfCl <sub>4</sub> :1.0%,CH <sub>3</sub> CN:1.2%,N <sub>2</sub> :40%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1025
α Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :2.0%,H <sub>2</sub> S:0.3%,CO <sub>2</sub> :5.0%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1050
κ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :2.0%,CO <sub>2</sub> :5.0%,CO:0.5%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1000
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%,CO <sub>2</sub> :7.0%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1050
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -ZrO <sub>2</sub>	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%,ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :0.3%,CO <sub>2</sub> :9.0%,H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	1070

\*1: Chlorine content is more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent

\*2: No chlorine

\*3: Chlorine content is more than 0.5 atomic percent

5

Table 13

Test sample No.	Outermost layer	Chlorine content (atomic %)
6-1	AlN *1	0.03
6-2	AlN *1	0.18
6-3	AlN *1	0.48
6-4	AlN *2	0
6-5	AlN *3	0.98

Using the cutting conditions shown in Table 14, the cutting efficiency of surface-coated end mills with outermost layers as shown in Table 13 was observed. For the cutting conditions 1, the wear for a fixed cutting length (150 m) was measured. In this test, the flank face wear (microns) on the peripheral cutting edge was measured. For the cutting conditions 2, a fixed boring operation (10 mm diameter) was performed. The torque applied during boring was measured and the state after changing to a fixed slot milling operation (50 mm) after the boring operation was observed. The results of the tests are shown in Table 15.

Table 14

Cutting conditions 1	Cutting conditions 2
Side milling	10mm boring, then 50mm fluting
Workpiece: S50C	Workpiece: SKD11
Speed: V=300m/min	Speed: V=80m/min
Feed per cutting edge: $f_t=0.1\text{mm}$	Feed: Boring 0.07mm/rev., Fluting 0.15mm/t (per cutting edge)
Axial cutting depth: $A_d=8\text{mm}$	
Radial cutting depth: $R_d=0.5\text{mm}$	
Cutting oil: None	Cutting oil: None
Cutting distance: 150m	

Table 15

Test sample No.	Cutting conditions 1	Cutting conditions 2
	Flank face wear (peripheral cutting edge, microns)	Maximum torque (N · cm)
6-1	55	610
6-2	31	410
6-3	42	550
6-4	92	1050 (breakage during fluting)
6-5	115 (chipping)	1120 (breakage during fluting)

Based on the results, in Test Samples 6-1 through 6-3, which have aluminum nitride layers with more than 0 and not more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine on the outermost layer as shown in Table 15 and an inner layer with films having a predetermined composition, wear was reduced and superior lubricity and improved fracturing resistance due to reduced cutting force was observed even in dry cutting. Because Test Samples 6-1 - 6-3 were formed with a coating layer having superior lubricity, chip ejection qualities were good. As a result, torque increases were limited and breaking resistance was improved, allowing problem-free cutting. With Test Samples 6-4, 6-5, torque increased and breakage occurred when switching to slot milling. Furthermore, these Test Samples 6-1 - 6-3 provided superior welding resistance and peeling of the coating layer and the like did not take place. Based on these factors, it could be seen that Test Samples 6-1 - 6-3 were able to extend tool life.

(Test Example 2-2)

Substrates similar to those from the cemented carbide end mill substrates used in the Test Example 2-1 were prepared. Thermal CVD was performed on the area associated with cutting, and coating layers were formed under the film forming conditions (gas composition, pressure, temperature) shown in Table 12. Table 16 shows the composition, film thicknesses, and the film thickness of the entire coating layer (total film thickness). In Table 16, the films are indicated sequentially as the first film, the second film, and the like starting from the film closest to the substrate.

Table 16

Test Sample No.	First film		Second film		Third film		Fourth film		Fifth film		Sixth film		Total thickness $\mu\text{m}$	Flank face wear $\mu\text{m}$
	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness		
7-1	TiCN	3.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	1.0									4.0	62
7-2	TiN	0.5	ZrCN	7.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.5							8.0	35
7-3	TiN	1.0	TiCN	4.5	TiC	1.5	TiCNO	1.0	$\kappa\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	3.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	5.0	16.0	33
7-4	TiN	0.3	TiCN	6.5	TiBN	0.5	$\kappa\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	1.5	TiN	0.3	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	3.0	12.1	53
7-5	TiN	0.5	TiCN	14.0	$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{ZrO}_2$	5.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	2.0					21.5	31
7-6	TiCN	3.0	TiZrCN	5.0	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.3	$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{ZrO}_2$	2.5	AlON <sup>*1</sup>	1.7			14.5	44
7-7	TiCN	3.2	TiN	0.5	HfCN	4.3	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	2.5					10.5	60
7-8	TiN	0.5	TiBN	1.3	$\alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	5.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.05					6.85	52
7-9	HfCN	3.5	$\alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	1.5	TiCNO	2.3	TiCN	6.5	TiN	0.7	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	0.7	15.2	41
7-10	TiN	2.0	TiZrCN	14.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	7.0							23	35
7-11	TiN	0.5	TiCN	4.5	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	0.5	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.5					6.0	56
7-12	TiN	0.5	TiCNO	2.0	TiCN	6.0	TiBN	0.5	$\kappa\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	1.5	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	0.7	11.2	54
7-13	HfCN	4.0	TiN	1.0									5.0	135
7-14	TiN	0.5	TiCN	5.0	TiCNO	0.5	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.0	TiCN	0.1	TiN	2.0	10.1	180
7-15	TiN	0.5	AlON <sup>*1</sup>	2.0	$\alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	3.0	TiN	1.5					7.0	128
7-16	ZrCN	0.07	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.02									0.09	82
7-17	TiN	0.5	ZrCN	4.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.02							4.52	95
7-18	TiN	0.5	TiCN	3.0	TiCNO	9.0	$\alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	3.5	$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{ZrO}_2$	5.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	8.0	29.0	98
7-19	TiCN	4.0	TiBN	2.0	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	7.0					15.0	92
7-20	TiN	1.0	ZrCN	4.0	AlON <sup>*2</sup>	1.3							6.3	210
7-21	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	5.0											5.0	165
7-22	TiN	3.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	1.0									4.0	88
7-23	TiZrCN	10.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	4.0									14.0	93

The surface-coated end mills with the coatings shown in Table 16 were used to perform side milling under the conditions described below, and wear for a fixed cutting length (100 m) was measured. In this test, the flank face wear (microns) on the peripheral cutting edge was measured. Table 16 shows the results from the test as well.

End mill side milling

Workpiece: SUS304

Speed: V=130 m/min



Feed per cutting edge:  $f_t=0.03$  mm

Axial cutting depth:  $A_d=8$  mm

Radial cutting depth:  $R_d=0.16$  mm

Cutting oil: none

5 ..... Cutting length: 100 m

As a result, it was found as shown in Table 16 that, compared to the other test samples, Test Samples 7-1 - 7-12, 7-16 - 7-19, 7-22, 7-23, which had an aluminum nitride film containing a predetermined amount of chlorine as the outermost layer and an inner layer with films having a predetermined  
10 composition, provided superior lubricity and superior wear resistance.

Also, the results shown in Table 16 indicate that it is preferable for the outermost layer to be at least 0.03 microns and for the total film thickness to be at least 0.1 microns and no more than 24 microns. Furthermore, it can be seen that it is preferable for the outermost layer to have no more than 1/2 the  
15 total thickness of the inner layer.

The end mills from test sample 7-1 - 7-23 were all cut and the surface roughness relative to a 5 micron reference length was measured for the area of the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge of the peripheral cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece. As a result, it was  
20 found that  $R_{max}$  was no more than 1.3 microns for all inserts and mills except Test Sample 7-22, while the  $R_{max}$  of Test Sample 7-22 was 1.7 microns. For Test Sample 7-22, a #1500 diamond paste was used to polish the area of the outermost layer of the peripheral cutting edge near the ridge line of the cutting

edge that comes into contact with the workpiece. When the method described above was used to measure surface roughness after polishing, Rmax was 0.52 microns. When side milling was performed under the same cutting conditions using the polished end mill, the flank face wear was 65 microns. This is  
5 believed to be caused by a reduction in the cutting force resulting from less roughness at the area of the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece. When the surface roughness of Test Sample 7-1 was measured as described above, the Rmax was 0.9 microns, but when the cutting edge was polished in the same manner, the  
10 flank face wear after another cutting operation was found to be significantly improved, at 35 microns.

Furthermore, a coating film was formed on Test Sample 7-23 to make the film hardness of the inner layer ~~higher~~lower than that of the outermost layer. Then, the hardnesses of the films forming the coating layers of Test  
15 Samples 7-1 - 7-20, 7-22, and 7-23 were measured. The film hardness of the outermost layer was lower than that of at least one film of the inner layer for all the end mills, with the exception of Test Sample 7-23. With Test Sample 7-23, the film hardness of the outermost layer was higher than that of the inner layer. As a result, it is believed that the cutting efficiency of Test Sample 7-23  
20 was reduced.

(Test Example 2-2')

Surface-coated end mills similar to those of Test Samples 7-1 - 7-23 were prepared and cutting tests were performed under the cutting conditions

described below. Crater wear (width) was then measured for a predetermined cutting length (50 m). Measurements were made of crater wear widths on the peripheral cutting edge. Because end mills have a three-dimensional shape, this width was measured obliquely. More specifically, crater wear width was  
 5 measured by observing from a fixed angle.

Results were evaluated based on these measurements by comparing the different test samples.

Workpiece: S50C

Speed:  $V=100$  m/min

10 Feed:  $f=0.05$  mm/t

Cutting depth:  $A_d=10$  mm  $R_d=0.6$  mm

Cutting oil: none (air blower)

.....The results indicated that crater wear was lower for Test Samples 7-1 - 7-12, 7-16 - 7-19, 7-22, 7-23 compared to the other test samples. For example, if  
 15 the wear width of Test Sample 7-14 is defined as 1, Test Samples 7-3, 7-6 had the values 0.44 and 0.52 respectively.

(Test Example 2-3)

Surface-coated end mills were prepared using the substrate described below with a widely known PVD method being used to form a coating layer  
 20 having a composition similar to that of Test Samples 7-2, 7-13 from Table 16. For the end mill with a coating layer having a composition similar to that of the Test Sample 7-2, the surface-coated end mill was formed by adding chlorine to the outermost layer using ion implantation after the coating layer

was formed. Then, side milling was performed using the same cutting conditions as Test Example 2-2. The coating layers were all formed at areas associated with cutting.

The test samples with the coating layer from Test Sample 7-2 all had a chlorine content of 0.2 atomic percent on the outermost layer.

1 Braze end mill substrate formed from cemented carbide corresponding to JIS Z20 (cutting section is cemented carbide)

2 Two-edge square end mill substrate formed from JIS-standard P20 cermet (10 mm diameter)

10 3 Braze ball mill substrate formed from cubic boron nitride (Sumitomo Electric Hardmetal Corp. Ltd., BN300) (cutting section is cubic boron nitride)

\_\_\_\_\_The results indicated that all the surface-coated end mills formed with the coating layer from Test Sample 7-2 provided superior lubricity and wear resistance. It was found that the tool life was at least twice that of end mills formed with the conventional coating layer from Test Sample 7-13.

(Test Example 2-4)

Two-edge square end mill substrates (solid end mills) formed from cemented carbide corresponding to JIS Z20 (10 mm diameter) were prepared. Thermal CVD, which is a chemical vapor deposition technique, was performed to form a coating layer on the substrate at the surface of the areas (body) associated with cutting. In this test, the following layers were formed, starting from the substrate side: TiN(0.5), columnar structure TiCN(4), TiBN(0.5),  $\kappa$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(1), and an outermost layer formed from AlN (1.5). The numbers in

parentheses indicate film thickness in microns. Table 17 shows an example of film forming conditions for each film. Specifically, the composition of the reaction gas (percent by volume), the pressure applied when forming the film (kPa), and the film forming temperature (deg C) are shown. Film thickness

5 was controlled by controlling the film forming time. In this test, the TiCN film has a columnar structure with an aspect ratio of 4.1 and the index of orientation TC is highest at the (311) face. More specifically, the TiN film formation conditions (gas composition, pressure, temperature) were set up so that the reaction gas was  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ , the temperature was 900 deg C, the

10 pressure was 8 kPa, and the surface roughness  $R_{\text{max}}$  (5 microns reference length) of the TiN film formed below the TiCN film was 0.1 microns. Test samples in which the AlN film at the outermost layer have different chlorine contents were prepared by varying the film forming conditions as shown in Table 17. Table 18 shows chlorine content at the outermost layer. Specifically,

15 samples with more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine, samples with more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine, and samples with no chlorine were prepared. Chlorine content was varied as shown in Table 17 by varying the proportion of hydrogen chloride (HCl) in the reaction gas. Also, ~~using depending on~~ the amount of hydrogen chloride, the film forming pressure

20 and the film forming temperature were varied as appropriate. Furthermore, the surface roughness at sites on the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge at areas that come into contact with the workpiece were studied for test samples containing more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent

of chlorine in the outermost layer. Observation of the tool cross-sections showed that the Rmax for a reference length of 5 microns was no more than 1.3 microns for all samples. More specifically, the Rmax was 0.6 microns for Test Sample 8-2, for example. The chlorine content was measured using XPS  
5 (X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy), but composition can also be studied using micro-EDX (Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy) combined with a transmission electron microscope or using SIMS (Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry).

Table 17

Coating layer	Reaction gas composition (vol %)	Pressure (kPa)	Temperature (deg C)
AlN *1	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.0 - 5.0%, NH <sub>3</sub> :0.1 - 5.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :20 - 50%, HCl:0.01 - 1.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	4.0 - 80	750 - 980
AlCN *1	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.0 - 5.0%, NH <sub>3</sub> :0.1 - 5.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :20 - 50%, CH <sub>4</sub> :0.5 - 5.0%, HCl:0.01 - 1.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	4.0 - 80	750 - 980
AlON *1	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.0 - 5.0%, NH <sub>3</sub> :0.1 - 5.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :20 - 50%, CO <sub>2</sub> :0.2 - 3.0%, HCl:0.01 - 1.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	4.0 - 80	750 - 980
AlN *2	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%, NH <sub>3</sub> :1.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :40%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	5.0	1000
AlN *3	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%, NH <sub>3</sub> :3.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :40%, HCl:5.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	950
AlON *2	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%, NH <sub>3</sub> :6.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :40%, CO <sub>2</sub> :1.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.8	1100
TiN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :25%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	950
TiC	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, CN <sub>4</sub> :5%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	1050
Granular TiCN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :4.0%, CH <sub>4</sub> :4.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :20%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	14	1020
Columnar TiCN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :3.0%, CH <sub>3</sub> CN:0.6%, N <sub>2</sub> :20%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	4.0 - 80	800 - 950
ZrCN	ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :1.0%, CH <sub>3</sub> CN:0.6%, N <sub>2</sub> :35%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	890
TiZrCN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :1.5%, ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :1.0%, CH <sub>3</sub> CN:1.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :45%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	975
TiCNO	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, CO <sub>2</sub> :2.5%, N <sub>2</sub> :8%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	975
TiBN	TiCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, BCl <sub>3</sub> :5.0%, N <sub>2</sub> :5.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	950
HfCN	HfCl <sub>4</sub> :1.0%, CH <sub>3</sub> CN:1.2%, N <sub>2</sub> :40%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1025
α Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :2.0%, H <sub>2</sub> S:0.3%, CO <sub>2</sub> :5.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1050
κ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :2.0%, CO <sub>2</sub> :5.0%, CO:0.5%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1000
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :2.0%, CO <sub>2</sub> :7.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	6.7	1050
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -ZrO <sub>2</sub>	AlCl <sub>3</sub> :1.5%, ZrCl <sub>4</sub> :0.3%, CO <sub>2</sub> :9.0%, H <sub>2</sub> :rest	13.3	1070

\*1: Chlorine content is more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent

\*2: No chlorine

\*3: Chlorine content is more than 0.5 atomic percent

Table 18

Test sample No.	Outermost layer	Chlorine content (atomic %)
8-1	AlN *1	0.03
8-2	AlN *1	0.18
8-3	AlN *1	0.48
8-4	AlN *2	0
8-5	AlN *3	0.98

Using the cutting conditions shown in Table 19, the cutting efficiency of surface-coated end mills with outermost layers as shown in Table 18 was observed. For the cutting conditions I, the wear for a fixed cutting length (150 m) was measured. In this test, the flank face wear (microns) on the peripheral cutting edge was measured. For the cutting conditions II, a fixed boring operation (10 mm diameter) was performed. The torque applied during boring was measured and the state after changing to a fixed slot milling operation (50 mm) after the boring operation was observed. The results of the tests are shown in Table 20.

Table 19

Cutting conditions I	Cutting conditions II
Side milling	10 mm boring, 50 mm fluting
Workpiece:S50C	Workpiece:SKD11
Speed:V=300m/min	Speed:V=80m/min
Feed per cutting edge:ft=0.1mm	Feed: Boring 0.07mm/rev., Fluting 0.15mm/t (per cutting edge)
Axial cutting depth: Ad=8mm	
Radial cutting depth: Rd=0.5mm	
Cutting oil: none	Cutting oil: none
Cutting distance: 150m	



Table 20

Test Sample No.	Cutting conditions I	Cutting conditions II
	Flank face wear (peripheral cutting edge, microns)	Maximum torque (N·cm)
8-1	42	680
8-2	21	430
8-3	35	510
8-4	85	1110 (Breakage during fluting)
8-5	121 (Chipping)	1050 (Breakage during fluting)

Based on the results, the Test Samples 8-1 through 8-3, which have aluminum nitride layers with more than 0 and not more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine on the outermost layer as shown in Table 20 and  
5     predetermined inner TiCN film layers, wear was reduced and superior lubricity and improved wear resistance and fracturing resistance due to reduced cutting force was observed even in dry cutting. Because Test Samples 8-1 - 8-3 were formed with a coating layer having superior lubricity, chip  
10     ejection qualities were good. As a result, torque increases were limited and breaking resistance was improved, allowing problem-free cutting. With Test Samples 8-4, 8-5, torque increased and breakage occurred when switching to slot milling. Furthermore, these Test Samples 8-1 - 8-3 provided superior  
15     welding resistance and peeling of the coating layer and the like did not take place. Based on these factors, it was shown that Test Samples 8-1 - 8-3 were able to extend tool life.

(Test Example 2-5)

Substrates similar to those from the cemented carbide end mill

substrates used in Test Example 2-4 were prepared. Thermal CVD was performed on the surfaces of locations associated with cutting, and coating layers were formed under the film forming conditions (gas composition, pressure, temperature) shown in Table 17. In this test, the following layers

5 were formed, starting from the substrate side: TiN(0.5), columnar structure TiCN(4) or granular structure TiCN(4), TiBN(0.5), Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-ZrO<sub>2</sub>(1), and an outermost layer formed from AlN<sup>\*1</sup>(1.5) (Test Sample 8-3 from Table 18). The numbers in parentheses indicate film thickness in microns. Film thickness was controlled by controlling the film forming time. In this test, the aspect ratio

10 and the face with the maximum index of orientation of the columnar structure TiCN film are varied, as shown in Table 17, by varying the film forming pressure and temperature as well as by varying the surface roughness and gas conditions for the TiN film formed below the TiCN film. More specifically, the aspect ratio of the TiCN film was set to at least 3, ~~e.g.~~ by using CH<sub>3</sub>CN as the

15 reaction gas, with the gas temperature set to 920 deg C and the pressure set to 6 kPa and the CH<sub>3</sub>CN reaction gas being introduced gradually. Also, if the TiCN film maximum index of orientation ~~TC~~ is to be ~~(422)~~, TC(422), for example, the surface roughness R<sub>max</sub> (5 microns reference length) of the substrate is set to 0.09 microns and the TiCN film is formed while adjusting

20 the aspect ratio outward from the substrate (away from the substrate). Furthermore, for all the test samples, the surface of the outermost layer was polished after forming the outermost layer so that the section of the outermost layer around the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into contact with the

workpiece had a surface roughness  $R_{max}$  of 0.4 microns for 5 microns reference length when measured by observing tool cross-sections. Table 21 shows TiCN film ~~composition~~structure, aspect ratio, and the face with the maximum index of orientation TC.

5                      Table 21

Test Sample No.	Inner layer TiCN film			Flank wear (outer perimeter, microns)
	Structure	Aspect ratio	Face with maximum TC	
9-1	Columnar	5.3	311	72
9-2	Columnar	6.8	422	64
9-3	Columnar	3.3	220	85
9-4	Columnar	2.4	220	140
9-5	Columnar	3.8	420	182
9-6	Granular	-	311	255(Chipping)

The surface-coated end mills with ~~the coatings~~TiCN film at the inner layer shown in Table 21 were used to perform side milling under the conditions described below, and flank face wear (microns) on the outer perimeter for a fixed cutting length (80 m) was measured. Table 21 shows the results from the test as well.

End mill side milling

Workpiece: SKD11

Speed:  $V=250$  m/min

15                      Feed per cutting edge:  $f_t=0.05$  mm

Axial cutting depth:  $A_d=8$  mm

Radial cutting depth:  $R_d=0.15$  mm

Cutting oil: none

.....Cutting length: 80 m

The results show that with a TiCN film at the inner layer as in Table 21, a columnar structure provides superior wear resistance. More specifically, with the Test Samples 9-1 - 9-3, which are formed with columnar structure TiCN film at the inner layer with an aspect ratio of at least 3 and a maximum index of orientation of TC(311), TC(220), or TC(422), wear resistance is especially superior ~~and tool life is longer~~, even when dry cutting. The reduced wear is believed to be because of the superior lubricity of the outermost layer ~~and the inner layer and the use of a predetermined TiCN film with superior wear resistance~~ resistance as the inner layer.

(Test Example 2-6)

Substrates similar to those from the cemented carbide end mill substrates used in Test Example 2-4 were prepared. Thermal CVD was performed on locations associated with cutting, and coating layers were formed under the film forming conditions (gas composition, pressure, temperature) shown in Table 17. In this test, the film forming conditions were controlled so that columnar structure TiCN films had an aspect ratio of at least 3 and the maximum index of orientation was TC(311), TC(220), or TC(422). Table 22 shows the composition, film thicknesses, and the film thickness of the entire coating layer (total film thickness). In Table 22, the films are indicated sequentially as the first film, the second film, and the like starting from the film closest to the substrate.

Table 22

Test Sample No.	First film		Second film		Third film		Fourth film		Fifth film		Sixth layer		Total thickness $\mu\text{m}$	Flank face wear $\mu\text{m}$
	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness	Type	Thickness		
10-1	columnar TiCN	3.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	1.0									4.0	52
10-2	columnar TiCN	0.5	ZrCN	7.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.5							8.0	31
10-3	TiN	1.0	columnar TiCN	4.5	TiC	1.5	TiCNO	1.0	$\kappa$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	5.0	16.0	34
10-4	TiN	0.3	columnar TiCN	6.5	TiBN	0.5	$\kappa$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.5	TiN	0.3	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	3.0	12.1	48
10-5	TiN	0.5	columnar TiCN	14.0	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZrO <sub>2</sub>	5.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	2.0					21.5	26
10-6	columnar TiCN	3.0	TiZrCN	5.0	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.3	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.5	AlON <sup>*1</sup>	1.7			14.5	44
10-7	columnar TiCN	3.2	TiN	0.5	HfCN	4.3	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	2.5					10.5	51
10-8	columnar TiCN	0.5	TiBN	1.3	$\alpha$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.05					6.85	54
10-9	HfCN	3.5	$\alpha$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.5	TiCN/TiCNO	2.3	columnar TiCN	6.5	TiN	0.7	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	0.7	15.2	38
10-10	columnar TiCN	5.0	TiZrCN	10.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	7.0							22	40
10-11	TiN	0.5	columnar TiCN	4.5	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	0.5	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.5					6.0	48
10-12	TiN	0.5	TiCN/TiCNO	2.0	columnar TiCN	6.0	TiBN	0.5	$\kappa$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.5	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	0.7	11.2	53
10-13	columnar TiCN	2.0	HfCN	2.0	TiN	1.0							5.0	145
10-14	TiN	0.5	columnar TiCN	5.0	TiCN/TiCNO	0.5	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.0	columnar TiCN	0.1	TiN	2.0	10.1	160
10-15	columnar TiCN	0.5	AlON <sup>*1</sup>	2.0	$\alpha$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.0	TiN	1.5					7.0	136
10-16	columnar TiCN	0.07	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.02									0.09	105
10-17	columnar TiCN	0.5	ZrCN	4.0	AlN <sup>*1</sup>	0.02							4.52	98
10-18	TiN	0.5	columnar TiCN	3.0	TiCN/TiCNO	9.0	$\alpha$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.5	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZrO <sub>2</sub>	5.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	8.0	29.0	102
10-19	columnar TiCN	4.0	TiBN	2.0	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	2.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	7.0					15.0	95
10-20	TiN	0.2	columnar TiCN	0.8	ZrCN	4.0	AlON <sup>*2</sup>	1.3					6.3	148
10-21	columnar TiCN	10.0	AlCN <sup>*1</sup>	4.0									14.0	94

The surface-coated end mills with the coatings shown in Table 22 were used to perform side milling under the conditions described below, and wear

for a fixed cutting length (100 m) was measured. In this test, the flank face wear (microns) on the peripheral cutting edge was measured. Table 22 shows the results from the test as well.

End mill side milling

5 Workpiece: SUS304

Speed:  $V=144$  m/min

Feed per cutting edge:  $f_t=0.03$  mm

Axial cutting depth:  $A_d=8$  mm

Radial cutting depth:  $R_d=0.16$  mm

10 Cutting oil: none

.....Cutting length: 100 m

As a result, it was found as shown in Table 22, that, compared to the other test samples, Test Samples 10-1 - 10-12, 10-16 - 10-19, 10-21, which had an aluminum nitride film containing a predetermined amount of chlorine as  
15 the outermost layer and a columnar structure TiCN film inner layer with an aspect ratio of at least 3 and a maximum index of orientation of TC(311), TC(220), or TC(422), provided superior lubricity and superior wear resistance.

Also, the results shown in Table 22 indicate that it is preferable for the outermost layer to be at least 0.03 microns and for the total film thickness to  
20 be at least 0.1 microns and no more than 24 microns. Furthermore, it can be seen that it is preferable for the outermost layer to have no more than 1/2 the total thickness of the inner layer.

The end mills from the test sample 10-1 - 10-21 were all cut and the

surface roughness relative to a 5 micron reference length was measured for the area of the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge of the peripheral cutting edge that contacts the workpiece. As a result, it was found that Rmax was no more than 1.3 microns for all ~~insert~~end mills except Test  
5 Sample 10-21, while the Rmax of Test Sample 10-21 was 1.6 microns. For Test Sample 10-21, a #1500 diamond paste was used to polish the area of the outermost layer of the peripheral cutting edge near the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece. When the method described above was used to measure surface roughness after polishing, Rmax was 0.61  
10 microns. When side milling was performed under the same cutting conditions using the polished end mill, the flank face wear was 48 microns. This is believed to be caused by a reduction in the cutting force resulting from less roughness at the area of the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece.

15 (Test Example 2-6')

Surface-coated end mills similar to those of Test Samples 10-1 - 10-21 were prepared and cutting tests were performed under the cutting conditions described below. Crater wear (width) on the peripheral cutting edge was then measured for a predetermined cutting length (50 m).

20 The measurement of crater wear width was performed in a manner similar to that of the cutting test (Test Example 2-2') that used the surface-coated end mills from Test Samples 7-1 - 7-23.

Workpiece: S50C

Speed:  $V=120$  m/min

Feed:  $f=0.05$  mm/t

Cutting depth:  $A_d=10$  mm  $R_d=0.6$  mm

Cutting oil: none (air blower)

5 .....The results showed that Test Samples 10-1 - 10-12, 10-16 - 10-19, and 10-21 had less crater wear compared to the other samples. For example, if the wear width of Test Sample 7-14 from Test Example 2-2' is defined as 1, Test Samples 10-3, 10-6 had the values 0.39 and 0.42 respectively.

(Test Example 2-7)

10 Surface-coated end mills were prepared using the substrate described below with a widely known PVD method being used to form a coating layer having a composition similar to that of Test Samples 10-2, 10-13 from Table 22. For the end mill with a coating layer having a composition similar to that of the Test Sample 10-2, the surface-coated end mill was formed by adding  
15 chlorine to the outermost layer using ion implantation after the coating layer was formed. Then, side milling was performed using the same cutting conditions as Test Example 2-6. The coating layers were all formed at areas associated with cutting.

The test samples with the coating layer from Test Sample 10-2 all had a  
20 chlorine content of 0.2 atomic percent on the outermost layer.

1 Brazed end mill substrate formed from cemented carbide corresponding to JIS Z20 (cutting section is cemented carbide)

2 Two-edge square end mill substrate formed from JIS-standard P20 cermet



(10 mm diameter)

3 Brazed ball mill substrate formed from cubic boron nitride (Sumitomo Electric Hardmetal Corp. Ltd., BN300) (cutting section is cubic boron nitride)

5 The results indicated that all the surface-coated end mills formed with the coating layer from the Test Sample 10-2 provided superior lubricity and wear resistance. It was found that the tool life was at least twice that of end mills formed with the conventional coating layer from the Test Sample 10-13.

[Third Example]

10 The present invention will be described in further detail using drills as an example.

(Test Example 3-1)

15 Solid drill substrates formed from cemented carbide corresponding to JIS K10 (8 mm diameter) were prepared. Thermal CVD, which is a chemical vapor deposition technique, was performed to form a coating layer on the substrate at the surface of the areas (body) associated with cutting based on the film forming conditions from Table 12. In this test, the following layers were formed, starting from the substrate side: an inner layer formed from TiN(0.5), TiCN(4), TiBN(0.5),  $\kappa$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(1) and an outermost layer formed from AlN(1.5). The numbers in parentheses indicate film thickness in microns. Film  
20 thickness was controlled by controlling the film forming time. Test samples in which the AlN film at the outermost layer have different chlorine contents were prepared by varying the film forming conditions as shown in Table 12. Table 23 shows chlorine content at the outermost layer. Specifically, samples

with more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine, samples with more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine, and samples with no chlorine were prepared. Chlorine content was varied as shown in Table 12 by varying the proportion of hydrogen chloride (HCl) in the reaction gas. Also, ~~using depending on~~ the amount of hydrogen chloride, the film forming pressure and the film forming temperature were varied as appropriate. Furthermore, the surface roughness at sites on the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge at areas that come into contact with the workpiece were studied for test samples containing more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine in the outermost layer. Observation of the tool cross-sections showed that the Rmax for a reference length of 5 microns was no more than 1.3 microns for all samples. More specifically, the Rmax was 0.6 microns for Test Sample 11-2, for example. The chlorine content was measured using XPS (X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy). Also, the Knoop hardness for each of the layers in the test samples was studied, and it was found that in all cases the outermost AlN film was ~~harder softer~~ than the inner TiCN film layer.

Table 23

Test Sample No.	Outermost layer	Chlorine content (atomic percent)
11-1	AlN *1	0.03
11-2	AlN *1	0.18
11-3	AlN *1	0.48
11-4	AlN *2	0
11-5	AlN *3	0.98

Using the cutting conditions shown in Table 24, the cutting efficiency of the surface-coated drills with outermost layers as shown in Table 23 was

observed. For cutting conditions 3, the number of holes bored until the tool broke was studied. For cutting conditions 4, the roundness of the holes was studied. The results of the tests are shown in Table 25.

Table 24

Cutting conditions 3	Cutting conditions 4
Drill boring (through-hole) Workpiece:SCM440 Speed:V=70m/min Feed:f=0.3mm/rev. Boring depth:40mm(L/D=5) Cutting oil:None (external air blower)	Drill boring (blind hole) Workpiece:NAK80 Speed:V=70m/min Feed:f=0.25mm/rev. Boring depth:40mm(L/D=5) Cutting oil: water soluble

5

Table 25

Test sample No.	Cutting conditions 3	Cutting conditions 4
	Number of holes before breakage	Roundness of hole entrance (microns)
11-1	At least 500 (no breaks)	3.5
11-2	At least 500 (no breaks)	2.1
11-3	At least 500 (no breaks)	2.8
11-4	285	13.8
11-5	182	15.9

Based on the results, in the Test Samples 11-1 through 11-3, which have aluminum nitride layers with more than 0 and not more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine on the outermost layer as shown in Table 25, and inner layers with films having predetermined compositions, superior chip ejection properties were provided and damage to the cutting section was reduced, allowing good cuts over an extended period of time. This significantly increased the number of cuts that could be made until the tool broke. This indicates that tool life was extended. For the Test Samples 11-1 - 11-3, it was found that the roundness of the bored holes was superior and that high-

10

15

precision cutting was possible. Furthermore, these Test Samples 11-1 - 11-3 provided superior welding resistance and peeling of the coating layer and the like did not take place.

(Test Example 3-2)

5        Substrates similar to those from the cemented carbide drill substrates used in the Test Example 3-1 were prepared. Thermal CVD was performed on the surface of the area associated with cutting, and coating layers were formed under the film forming conditions (gas composition, pressure, temperature) shown in Table 12 in the same manner as the coating layers indicated in Table  
10    16.

The surface-coated drills with the coatings shown in Table 16 were used to perform boring operations under the conditions described below, and the number of holes bored until the tool life was reached was measured. The end of tool life was defined to be when flank face wear at the cutting section at the  
15    end reached 0.3 microns or when further cutting was not possible due to tool breakage or the like. The results of the tests are shown in Table 26.

Drill boring (blind hole)

Workpiece: S50C

Speed:  $V=100$  m/min

20        Feed:  $f=0.2$  mm/rev.

Hole depth: 24 mm ( $L/D=3$ )

.....Cutting oil: air blower

Table 26

Test Sample No.	Film structure	Number of holes bored during tool life	Test Sample No.	Film structure	Number of holes bored during tool life
12-1	7-1	3500	12-13	7-13	600
12-2	7-2	4500	12-14	7-14	790
12-3	7-3	5500	12-15	7-15	800
12-4	7-4	4000	12-16	7-16	1260
12-5	7-5	5000	12-17	7-17	1120
12-6	7-6	4000	12-18	7-18	1310
12-7	7-7	3500	12-19	7-19	1500
12-8	7-8	4000	12-20	7-20	800
12-9	7-9	4500	12-21	7-21	520
12-10	7-10	5500	12-22	7-22	1190
12-11	7-11	3500	12-23	7-23	1500
12-12	7-12	3500			

As a result, it was found as shown in Table 26 that, compared to the other test samples, the Test Samples 12-1 - 12-12, 12-16 - 12-19, 12-22, and 12-23, which had an aluminum nitride film containing a predetermined amount of chlorine as the outermost layer and films with predetermined compositions as the inner layers, provided superior lubricity and superior wear resistance.

Also, the results shown in Table 26 indicate that it is preferable for the outermost layer to be at least 0.03 microns and for the total film thickness to be at least 0.1 microns and no more than 24 microns. Furthermore, it can be seen that it is preferable for the outermost layer to have no more than 1/2 the total thickness of the inner layer.

The end-mills/drills from the test sample 12-1 - 12-23 were all cut and the surface roughness relative to a 5 micron reference length was measured for the area of the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge of the peripheral cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece. As a result,

it was found that Rmax was no more than 1.3 microns for all ~~insertsdrills~~ except Test Sample 12-22, while the Rmax of Test Sample 12-22 was 1.9 microns. For Test Sample 12-22, as in Test Sample 7-22, a #1500 diamond paste was used to polish the area of the outermost layer of the peripheral cutting edge near the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece. When the method described above was used to measure surface roughness after polishing, Rmax was 0.45 microns. When boring was performed under the same cutting conditions using the polished drill, the number of operations performed was 4500. This is believed to be caused by a reduction in the cutting force resulting from less roughness at the area of the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece. When the surface roughness of the Test Sample 12-1 was measured as described above, the Rmax was 0.78 microns, but when the cutting edge was polished in the same manner, the cut count after another boring operation was found to be significantly improved, at 5000.

Furthermore, a coating film was formed on Test Sample 12-23 to make the film hardness of the inner layer ~~higherlower~~ than that of the outermost layer. Then, the hardnesses of the films forming the coating layers of Test Samples 12-1 - 12-20, 12-22, and 12-23 were measured. The film hardness of the outermost layer was lower than that of at least one film of the inner layer for all the drills, with the exception of Test Sample 12-23. With Test Sample 12-23, the film hardness of the outermost layer was higher than that of the inner layer. Based on this, it is believed that the cutting efficiency of Test

Sample 12-23 was reduced compared to Test Samples 12-1 - 12-12.

(Test Example 3-2')

Surface-coated drills similar to those of Test Samples 12-1 - 12-23 were prepared and cutting tests were performed under the cutting conditions described below. Crater wear (width) was then measured for a predetermined number of holes (500 holes). Measurements were made on the widths of crater wear near the center of the cutting section. Measurements were performed in a manner similar to those of Test Example 2-2'.

Results were evaluated based on these measurements by comparing the different test samples.

Workpiece: S50C (blind hole)

Speed:  $V=70$  m/min

Feed:  $f=0.25$  mm/rev.

Hole depth: 40 mm ( $L/D=5$ )

Cutting oil: mist (water soluble cutting fluid)

.....The results showed that Test Samples 12-1 - 12-12, 12-16 - 12-19, 12-22, and 12-23 had less crater wear compared to the other samples. For example, if the wear width of the Test Sample 12-14 is defined as 1, Test Samples 12-3, 12-9 had the values 0.32 and 0.38 respectively.

(Test Example 3-2")

In the above test, dry cutting was performed. In this test, drills similar to those of the Test Samples 12-1 - 12-23 were prepared, and boring counts were measured as in the above test under the following boring conditions: 40

mm boring depth ( $L/D=5$ ); and cutting oil: instead of using an air blower, wet cutting, and mist cutting were used. As a result, it was found the Test Samples which had a aluminum nitride film containing a predetermined amount of chlorine as the outermost layer and films with predetermined compositions as the inner layers provided superior lubricity, superior wear resistance, and longer tool life.

(Test Example 3-3)

Surface-coated drills were prepared using the substrate described below with a widely known PVD method being used to form a coating layer having a composition similar to that of Test Samples 7-2, 7-13 from Table 16. For the drill with a coating layer having a composition similar to that of Test Sample 7-2, the surface-coated ~~end-mill~~drill was formed by adding chlorine to the outermost layer using ion implantation after the coating layer was formed. Then, boring (blind hole) was performed using the same cutting conditions (dry cutting) as Test Example 3-2. The coating layers were all formed at areas associated with cutting.

Also, for the test samples on which the coating layer from Test Sample 7-2 were formed, the chlorine content at the outermost layer was set to 0.2 atomic percent.

1 High speed tool steel drill substrate (solid)

The results indicated that all the surface-coated drills formed with the coating layer from the Test Sample 7-2 provided superior lubricity and wear resistance. It was found that the tool life was at least three times that of end



~~milledrills~~ formed with the conventional coating layer from the Test Sample 7-13.

(Test Example 3-4)

Solid drill substrates formed from cemented carbide corresponding to JIS K10 (8 mm diameter) were prepared. Thermal CVD, which is a chemical vapor deposition technique, was performed to form a coating layer on the substrate at the surface of the areas (body) associated with cutting based on the film forming conditions from Table 17. In this test, the following layers were formed, starting from the substrate side: an inner layer formed from TiN(0.5), columnar structure TiCN(4), TiBN(0.5),  $\kappa$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(1) and an outermost layer formed from AlN(1.5). The numbers in parentheses indicate film thickness in microns. Film thickness was controlled by controlling the film forming time. In this test, the TiCN film was grown so that it has a columnar structure with an aspect ratio of 4.2 and so that the (311) plane has the maximum index of orientation TC. More specifically, the TiN film formation conditions (gas composition, pressure, temperature) were set up so that the reaction gas was CH<sub>3</sub>CN, the temperature was 900 deg C, the pressure was 8 kPa, and the surface roughness Rmax (5 microns reference length) of the TiN film formed below the TiCN film was 0.1 microns. Test samples in which the AlN film at the outermost layer have different chlorine contents were prepared by varying the film forming conditions as shown in Table 17. Table 27 shows chlorine content at the outermost layer. Specifically, samples with more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine, samples with more than 0.5

atomic percent of chlorine, and samples with no chlorine in the outermost layer were prepared. Chlorine content was varied as shown in Table 17 by varying the proportion of hydrogen chloride (HCl) in the reaction gas. Also, using depending on the amount of hydrogen chloride, the film forming pressure and the film forming temperature were varied as appropriate. Furthermore, the surface roughness at sites on the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge at areas that come into contact with the workpiece were studied for test samples containing more than 0 and no more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine in the outermost layer. Observation of the tool cross-sections showed that the Rmax for a reference length of 5 microns was no more than 1.3 microns for all samples. More specifically, the Rmax was 0.6 microns for Test Sample 13-2, for example. The chlorine content was measured using XPS (X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy).

Table 27

Test sample No.	Outermost layer	Chlorine content (atomic %)
13-1	AlN *1	0.03
13-2	AlN *1	0.18
13-3	AlN *1	0.48
13-4	AlN *2	0
13-5	AlN *3	0.98

15

Using the cutting conditions shown in Table 28, the cutting efficiency of the surface-coated drills with outermost layers as shown in Table 27 was observed. For cutting conditions III, the number of holes bored until the tool broke was studied. For cutting conditions IV, the roundness of the holes was studied. The results of the tests are shown in Table 29.

20



Table 28

Cutting conditions III	Cutting conditions IV
Drill boring (through-hole) Workpiece:SCM440 Speed:V=70m/min Feed:f=0.3 mm/rev. Boring depth:40mm(L/D=5) Cutting oil: none (external air blower)	Drill boring (blind hole) Workpiece:NAK80 Speed:V=70m/min Feed:f=0.25mm/rev. Boring depth:40mm(L/D=5) Cutting oil: water soluble

Table 29

Test Sample No.	Cutting conditions III	Cutting conditions IV
	Number of holes before breakage	Roundness of hole entrance (microns)
13-1	At least 500 (no breaks)	3.8
13-2	At least 500 (no breaks)	1.9
13-3	At least 500 (no breaks)	3.1
13-4	312	15.3
13-5	250	12.1

5           Based on the results, in Test Samples 13-1 through 13-3, which have aluminum nitride layers with more than 0 and not more than 0.5 atomic percent of chlorine on the outermost layer as shown in Table 29, and predetermined inner TiCN film layers, superior chip ejection properties were provided and damage to the cutting section was reduced, allowing good cuts

10   over an extended period of time. This significantly increased the number of cuts that could be made until the tool broke. This indicates that tool life was extended. For Test Samples 13-1 - 13-3, it was found that the roundness of the bored holes was superior and that high-precision cutting was possible. Furthermore, these Test Samples 13-1 - 13-3 provided superior welding

15   resistance and peeling of the coating layer and the like did not take place.

(Test Example 3-5)

Substrates similar to those from the cemented carbide drill substrates used in Test Example 3-4 were prepared. Thermal CVD was performed on the surface of the area associated with cutting, and coating layers were formed under the film forming conditions (gas composition, pressure, temperature) shown in Table 17. In this test, the following layers were formed, starting from the substrate side: TiN(0.5), columnar structure TiCN(4) or granular structure TiCN(4), TiBN(0.5),  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-ZrO}_2(1)$ ; and an outermost layer formed from  $\text{AlN}^{*1}(1.5)$  (similar to Test Sample 8-3 from Table 18). The numbers in parentheses indicate film thickness in microns. Film thickness was controlled by controlling the film forming time. In this test, the aspect ratio and the face with the maximum index of orientation of the columnar structure TiCN film are varied, as shown in Table 17, by varying the film forming pressure and temperature as well as by varying the surface roughness and gas conditions for the TiN film formed below the TiCN film. More specifically, the aspect ratio of the TiCN film was set to at least 3, ~~e.g.~~ by using  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  as the reaction gas, with the gas temperature set to 920 deg C and the pressure set to 6 kPa and the  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  reaction gas being introduced gradually. Also, if the TiCN film maximum index of orientation ~~TC~~ is to be ~~(422)~~, TC(422), for example, the surface roughness  $R_{\text{max}}$  (reference length) of the substrate is set to 0.09 microns and the TiCN film is formed while adjusting the aspect ratio outward from the substrate (away from the substrate). Furthermore, for all the test samples, the surface of the outermost layer was polished after forming the outermost layer so that the section of the outermost layer around the ridge line

of the cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece had a surface roughness  $R_{max}$  of 0.4 microns for 5 microns reference length when measured by observing tool cross-sections. Table 30 shows TiCN film composition~~structure~~, aspect ratio, and the face with the maximum index of orientation TC.

Table 30

Test Sample No.	Inner layer TiCN film			Number of holes bored during tool life
	Structure	Aspect ratio	Face with maximum TC	
14-1	Columnar	5.1	311	800
14-2	Columnar	7.0	422	920
14-3	Columnar	3.2	220	900
14-4	Columnar	2.1	220	250
14-5	Columnar	4.0	420	210
14-6	Granular	-	311	330

The surface-coated drills with the TiCN films shown in Table 30 forming an inner layer were used to perform boring operations under the conditions described below, and the number of holes bored until the tool life was reached was measured. The end of tool life was defined to be when flank face wear at the cutting section at the end reached 0.3 microns or when further cutting was not possible due to tool breakage or the like. Table 30 shows the results from the test as well.

Drill Boring (Through-hole)

Workpiece: S50C

Speed:  $V=80$  m/min

Feed:  $f=0.2$  mm/rev.

Hole depth: 40 mm (L/D=5)

.....Cutting oil: mist (~~water~~ soluble cutting fluid)

The results show that with a TiCN film as an inner layer as in Table 30, a columnar structure provides superior wear resistance. More specifically, with  
 5 Test Samples 14-1 - 14-3, which are formed with columnar structure TiCN film at the inner layer with an aspect ratio of at least 3 and a maximum index of orientation of TC(311), TC(220), or TC(422), wear resistance ~~is and breakage resistance are~~ especially superior and tool life is longer, ~~even when dry-cutting.~~  
 The longer tool life is believed to be because of the superior lubricity of the  
 10 outermost layer and ~~the inner layer and the use of a predetermined TiCN film with superior wear resistance.~~ resistance as the inner layer.

(Test Example 3-6)

Substrates similar to those from the cemented carbide drill substrates used in Test Example 3-4 were prepared. Thermal CVD was performed on ~~the~~  
 15 surface of the area associated with cutting, and coating layers were formed under the film forming conditions (gas composition, pressure, temperature) shown in Table 17 in the same manner as the coating layers shown in Table 22. In this test, as in the Test Example 2-6, the film forming conditions were controlled so that columnar structure TiCN films had an aspect ratio of at  
 20 least 3 and the maximum index of orientation was TC(311), TC(220), or TC(422).

The surface-coated drills with the coatings shown in Table 22 were used to perform boring operations under the conditions described below, and the

number of holes bored until the tool life was reached was measured. The end of tool life was defined to be when flank face wear at the cutting section at the end reached 0.3 microns or when further cutting was not possible due to tool breakage or the like. The results of the tests are shown in Table 31.

5           Drill boring (blind hole)

Workpiece: S50C

Speed:  $V=120$  m/min

Feed:  $f=0.2$  mm/rev.

Hole depth: 24 mm ( $L/D=3$ )

10           .....Cutting oil: air blower

Table 31

Test Sample No.	Film structure	Number of holes bored during tool life	Test Sample No.	Film structure	Number of holes bored during tool life
15-1	10-1	4000	15-13	10-13	820
15-2	10-2	4500	15-14	10-14	600
15-3	10-3	5000	15-15	10-15	800
15-4	10-4	4500	15-16	10-16	1050
15-5	10-5	5500	15-17	10-17	1420
15-6	10-6	4500	15-18	10-18	1330
15-7	10-7	3500	15-19	10-19	1200
15-8	10-8	4000	15-20	10-20	510
15-9	10-9	4000	15-21	10-21	1010
15-10	10-10	5500			
15-11	10-11	4000			
15-12	10-12	4500			

As a result, it was found as shown in Table 31 that Test Samples 15-1 - 15-12, 15-16 - 15-19, 15-21, which had an aluminum nitride film containing a predetermined amount of chlorine as the outermost layer and a columnar structure TiCN film inner layer with an aspect ratio of at least 3 and a



maximum index of orientation of TC(311), TC(220), or TC(422), provided superior lubricity and superior wear resistance compared to Test Samples 15-13 - 15-15, 15-20, which correspond to conventional technologies.

Also, the results shown in Table 31 indicate that it is preferable for the  
5 outermost layer to be at least 0.03 microns and for the total film thickness to be at least 0.1 microns and no more than 24 microns. Furthermore, it can be seen that it is preferable for the outermost layer to have no more than 1/2 the total thickness of the inner layer.

The ~~end-mills~~drills from test sample 15-1 - 15-21 were all cut and the  
10 surface roughness relative to a 5 micron reference length was measured for the area of the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge of the peripheral cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece. As a result, it was found that Rmax was no more than 1.3 microns for all ~~inserts~~drills except the Test Sample 15-21, while the Rmax of Test Sample 15-21 was 2.0  
15 microns. For Test Sample 15-21, as in Test Sample 10-21, a #1500 diamond paste was used to polish the area of the outermost layer of the peripheral cutting edge near the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into contact with the workpiece. When the method described above was used to measure surface roughness after polishing, Rmax was 0.52 microns. When boring was  
20 performed under the same cutting conditions using the polished drill, the number of operations performed was 4000. This is believed to be caused by a reduction in the cutting force resulting from less roughness at the area of the outermost layer near the ridge line of the cutting edge that comes into contact

with the workpiece.

(Test Example 3-6')

Surface-coated drills similar to those of Test Samples 15-1 - 15-21 were prepared and cutting tests were performed under the cutting conditions described below. Crater wear (width) was then measured for a predetermined number of holes (500 holes) near the center of the cutting section.

The measurement of crater wear width was performed in a manner similar to that of the cutting test (Test Example 3-2') that used the surface-coated ~~end mills~~drills from Test Samples 12-1 - 12-23.

10        Workpiece: S50C (~~stepping~~blind hole)

Speed:  $V=100$  m/min

Feed:  $f=0.25$  mm/rev.

Hole depth: 40 mm ( $L/D=5$ )

Cutting oil: mist (water soluble cutting fluid)

15        .....The results showed that Test Samples 15-1 - 15-12, 15-16 - 15-19, and 15-21 had less crater wear compared to the other samples. For example, if the wear width of Test Sample 12-14 from Test Example 3-2' is defined as 1, Test Samples 15-3, 15-9 had the values 0.29 and 0.35 respectively.

(Test Example 3-6'')

20        In the above test, dry cutting was performed. In this test, drills similar to those of Test Samples 15-1 - 15-21 were prepared, and boring counts were measured as in the above test under the following boring conditions: 40 mm boring depth ( $L/D=5$ ); and cutting oil: wet cutting, mist cutting ~~with~~instead of

~~using an~~ air blower. As a result, it was found that the test samples, which had an aluminum nitride film containing a predetermined amount of chlorine as the outermost layer and a columnar structure TiCN film inner layer with an aspect ratio of at least 3 and a maximum index of orientation of TC(311),  
 5 TC(220), or TC(422), provided superior lubricity and superior wear resistance as well as long tool life.

(Test Example 3-7)

Surface-coated drills were prepared using the substrate described below with a widely known PVD method being used to form a coating layer having a  
 10 composition similar to that of Test Samples 10-2, 10-13 from Table 22. For the drill with a coating layer having a composition similar to that of Test Sample 10-2, the surface-coated ~~end-mill~~drill was formed by adding chlorine to the outermost layer using ion implantation after the coating layer was formed. Then, boring (blind hole) was performed using the same cutting conditions (dry  
 15 cutting) as Test Example 3-6. The coating layers were all formed at areas associated with cutting.

Also, for the test samples on which the coating layer from the Test Sample 10-2 were formed, the chlorine content at the outermost layer was set to 0.2 atomic percent.

20 1. High speed tool steel drill substrate (solid)

The results indicated that all the surface-coated drills formed with the coating layer from the Test Sample 10-2 provided superior lubricity and wear resistance. It was found that the tool life was at least three times that of ~~end~~

~~milledrills~~ formed with the conventional coating layer from the Test Sample 10-13.

The surface-coated cutting tool of the present invention is suited for cutting under harsh conditions, e.g., cutting involving high temperatures for the cutting edge such as dry cutting, mist cutting, and intermittent cutting, 5 boring, and cutting of workpieces that tend to weld easily.

Also, the surface-coated cutting tool of the present invention is suited for cutting steel and the like under conditions that tend to lead to welding.